



**IBIMA**  
Publishing

*mobile*

***Journal of Organizational  
Knowledge Management***

*Vol. 2011 (2011), Article ID  
434181, 214 minipages.*

*DOI:10.5171/2011.434181*

[www.ibimapublishing.com](http://www.ibimapublishing.com)

Copyright © 2011 Anushia Chelvarayan, Chandrika Mohd Jayothisa, Hazlaili Hashim, and Khairol Nizat Lajis. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License unported 3.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that original work is properly cited.

**Syllabus Management  
System for Academics  
Practicing Knowledge  
Management**

## **Authors**

**Anushia Chelvarayan<sup>1</sup>,  
Chandrika Mohd  
Jayothisa<sup>1</sup>,  
Hazlaili Hashim<sup>1</sup>  
and Khairol Nizat Lajis<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Centre For Diploma  
Programme, Multimedia  
Universiti, Jalan Ayer Keroh  
Lama, Melaka,

<sup>2</sup> Foundation Studies and  
Extension Education, Multimedia  
Universiti, Jalan Ayer Keroh Lama,  
Melaka,

# **Abstract**

Knowledge management,  
a very popular term  
which describes a range  
of practices used by  
organizations to identify,

create, represent, and distribute knowledge for reuse, awareness and learning across the organization. This paper will discuss a practice used by academics in



Centre for Diploma  
Programme (CDP) in  
Multimedia University via  
syllabus management  
system. Every two to five  
years, CDP's academic  
and management staff

will prepare the updated syllabi for MQA accreditation. The system will assist both the administrator and the lecturers in organizing, updating and retrieving

their syllabi information for the entire Diploma in Information Technology (DIT) programme. There are basically a few factors that encourage academics to practice

knowledge management.  
First of all, we will  
identify the contributing  
factors that encourage  
the academics in CDP,  
MMU for practicing  
knowledge management

i.e. MQA's requirement,  
academics' commitment  
and the university's  
requirement and then the  
practice of knowledge  
management is shared  
through the Syllabus

Management System. The requirement models have been represented using the Unified Modeling Language (UML) and the development stage uses the ontology

development  
methodology. The  
ontology methodology is  
then used as a guideline  
for creating ontologies  
based on a declarative  
knowledge

representation system.  
The system is deployed  
on the Protégé ontology  
editor tool.



**Keywords:** Knowledge  
Management, Outcome  
Based Education, Protégé ,  
Ontology

# **Introduction**

Knowledge is neither equal to data nor information. In fact, knowledge can be described as something that makes both data and

information manageable.  
For example, if you want to  
travel by train from  
Rawang to Ipoh, you will  
need some data, some  
information and above all,  
knowledge. You have the

data through tables with train times at the Rawang station. From this data, you can extract meaningful and useable information from the large amount of data that are relevant for the

trip. The thing that makes this all possible is knowledge. This is because, you have knowledge of train tables and you must consult the tables if you need to know what time

your train leaves. You can also read and you found the station. All these things have something to do with knowledge. Knowledge is characterized by information, a capacity and

an attitude. Knowledge management needs to take into consideration the system-bound side of knowledge or also known as information and people-bound side of knowledge or

also known as capacity and attitude. The system-bound side of knowledge is called explicit knowledge and the people-bound side knowledge is known as implicit or tacit knowledge.



Knowledge Management (KM) has managed to become the main source and continued key factor in developing and implementing competitive and successful systems that

represents the organization memory in various fields including education. This competitive advantage is achieved through the process of creating, collecting, organizing,

diffusing and implementing  
of both creative and timely  
business solutions that are  
able to pursuit the  
organizational objectives.

Multimedia University  
(MMU) is currently having  
more than ten faculties and  
departments offering  
different education  
programmes which are  
accredited by Malaysian

Qualification Assurance  
(MQA) previously known as  
Lembaga Akreditasi Negara  
(LAN). To maintain the  
quality of programmes  
taught, MQA has provides  
some guidelines and

procedures on syllabus  
format and materials that  
need to be collected,  
prepared and updated.  
These are to ensure that all  
programmes offered are

always met with its quality assurance.

A Document Management System allows users to track and manage documents across work

groups which include handling critical information assets such as lecturer's teaching background, subject learning outcomes and programme outcomes. In



order to manage the syllabus, a simple prototype is developed to enable authorized user groups to locate, update, store and retrieve data in the most efficient manner.

The system is developed using the Protégé 2000, an ontology editor tool that will assist in defining and providing an extensible architecture of creating and customizing knowledge

based application, in this study the knowledge of syllabi.

## **Research Objective**

The overall goal of this study is to identify the contributing factors that encourage knowledge management practice

among academics via the syllabus management system in Centre for Diploma Programme, Multimedia University Melaka. Moreover, we need to identify if MQA

requirement, academics' commitment and university requirement are the contributing factors that encourage knowledge management practice among academic in CDP,

MMU. Based on the above research objectives, a syllabus management system is introduced to encourage knowledge management practice among the academics.

A simple prototype has been developed and introduced to the academics by using an ontology tool in identifying the knowledge base and representing the



organization memory by emphasizing on the knowledge of programmes. A simple prototype is developed based on the models, structure and the defined knowledge base

repository of the Syllabus  
Management System.

# **Scope and Limitations of Study**

The scope of this research is as follows: (1); The contributing factors for knowledge management

practice among academic is only limited to three factors that is MQA's requirement, academics' commitment and university's requirement, (2) The Document Management

System only caters for the Diploma in Information Technology syllabi, (3); The system is able to store, update, search and retrieve syllabus. (4); The use of ontology tools to module

# the Syllabus Management System

# **Literature Review**

## **Knowledge Management (KM)**

KM has managed to become  
the main success factor for

organizations in building and representing their organization memory (OM) in various fields such as education, engineering, management and environment. Information



Technology (IT) has proven to continuously support KM throughout the development of OM. In addition, the integration of informal, semiformal, and formal knowledge helps to

facilitate its access, sharing and reused by the members of their organization(s) for solving their individual or collective tasks (Thorsten & Sure, 2002). A common approach to tackle the

knowledge management  
problem in an organization  
consists of designing an  
organizational memory  
(Abel et al., 2004).

There have been many researches done on knowledge management itself. Data can be transferred, information can be shared but knowledge is an attribute of

people or communities or societies. According to Dougherty (1999), knowledge only exists because of people. Knowledge comes as a person uses information

and combines it with their personal experience. Much of the knowledge one acquires and gathers in one's head has its own value, and it is that which makes each of us unique

and valuable to the society as a whole and to organizations. Tobias (2000) and Trepper (2000) have also suggested that the two greatest assets that companies have are the

people that work with and  
knowledge in their  
workers' heads.

Drucker (1993) describes  
knowledge as the only  
meaningful resource in



a knowledge society. He further stresses that knowledge is not impersonal like money. Knowledge does not reside in a book, data bank, a software programme. They

contain only information.  
Knowledge is always  
embroiled in a person,  
taught and learned by a  
person, used or misused by  
a person.

McAdam and O'Dell (2000) have undertaken a study on the perception and the use of knowledge management in both public and private sector. They have used Demarest's socially

constructed models as their model, as they assume a wide definition of knowledge and represent knowledge as being intrinsically linked to the social and learning

processes within the organization.

Al-Athari and Zairi (2001) have carried out another research project on knowledge management in

both private and public sector organizations. Their study examined the actual situation on the availability of knowledge management systems in 77 Kuwait Organizations.

According to Civi (2000), many companies are beginning to understand that the knowledge of their employees is the most valuable asset. Knowledge management has thus far

been addressed at either philosophical or technological level, with little pragmatic discussion on how knowledge can be managed and used more effectively on a daily basis.



Liebowitz and Chen (2003) have also conducted another study on knowledge management issues in public sector organizations. They investigated on how

knowledge management  
could build and nurture a  
knowledge sharing culture  
in an organization.

Bender and Fish (2000)  
recognize that knowledge

originates in the head of an individual and builds on information that is transformed and enriched by personal experience, beliefs and values with decision and action-

relevant meaning. It is information interpreted by the individual and applied to the purpose for which it is needed. The knowledge formed by an individual will differ from another

person receiving the same information. Therefore, Bender and Fish (2000) conclude that knowledge is the mental state of ideas, facts, concepts, data and techniques, recorded in an

individual's memory. It involves the processing, creation or use of information in the mind of the individual (Kirchner, 1997). Unlike traditional raw material, knowledge

usually is not coded, edited, inventoried and stacked in a warehouse for employees to use as needed. It is scattered, messy and easy to lose (Galagan, 1997).

According to several researchers, explicit knowledge is characterized by its ability to be expressed as a word or number, in the form of hard data, scientific formulas,



manuals, computer files, documents, patents and standardized procedures or universal starting points that can be easily transferred and spread. On the other hand, implicit

knowledge is difficult to formalize and therefore difficult to transfer and spread. It is mainly located in people's hearts and heads. Implicit knowledge is what is in our heads and

explicit knowledge is what we have codified.

Nonaka and Takeuchi (1995) have argued that a successful knowledge management programme

needs to convert tacit  
knowledge into explicit  
knowledge in order to  
share it and for individuals  
and groups to internalize  
and make personally  
meaningful codified

knowledge once it is retrieved from the knowledge management system.

According to Civi (2000), Knowledge originates in

human being; a computer cannot create it. The only sustainable advantage of organization is what people know and what they do with it. It is the most important resource

a company has that is worth more than land, labour and capital and unlike those traditional assets, knowledge does not diminish in value. It actually represents 75

percent of a company's worth, but does not get a place in the company's balance sheet.



# **Organization Memory**

Organizational Memory defines a comprehensive computer system which captures a company's accumulated know-how

and other forms of knowledge assets and makes them available to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of knowledge-intensive work processes (Vasconcelos, 2000).

Furthermore, OM without fail supports the continuous storage manipulation of an organization knowledge (Vasconcelos et al 2002).

# **Ontology Modelling**

Ontology is a model that is populated by concepts and it is organized in a particular hierarchy that represents the theories

about real world objects of interest, the relations between them in a certain domain and properties of objects (Vasconcelos, 2000). Protégé 2000 is one of the available ontology

which is an open source tool that helps users on the construction of large electronic knowledge bases. This tool enables developers to create and edit domain ontologies.

Among the advantages of Ontology are (1) The ability to share common understanding of the structure of information among people; (2) The ability to reuse domain

knowledge and (3) The ability to make the domain explicit.



# **Outcome Base Education (OBE)**

Outcome Based Education (OBE) is an educational process in achieving specified outcomes

concerning the students' learning abilities. Both the curricula and education structure are designed in such a way to achieve the capabilities and the

qualities that a student should have.

MMU Syllabus contents are modeled and structured from both MMU and MQA guidelines. This structured

format will refer as their  
lecture plan and syllabus.  
The OBE has since been  
introduced and  
implemented by all  
faculties and departments

of Multimedia University  
(MMU) in the late 2005.

## **Theoretical Framework**

Since knowledge  
management is an

emerging field, there has been no single set of widely recognized and empirically validated criteria for evaluating the successful contributing factors for knowledge management

practice. Therefore, in line with the trend toward examining more fully integrated models of the knowledge management contributing factors, a set of variables, taken solely from

one perspective, may explain only a small proportion of the variance in how well the factors contribute to knowledge management practice. Moreover, there is little



statistical evidence that the proposed factors affect the knowledge management practice, these factors need to be tested especially in the Malaysian context.

The framework is divided into two parts: independent variables and dependent variables. The theoretical framework of this research study consists of a dependent variables

(Knowledge management practice among academic in CDP, MMU), and three independent variables (MQA requirements, academic's commitment and University's

requirement). Refer to  
Figure 1

# **Figure 1: Theoretical Framework**

**Please see Figure 1 in full PDF version.**

# **Dependable Variable**

Knowledge management  
practice among academics  
in CDP, MMU.

# **Independent Variable**

- a) MQA's requirement
- b) Academic's  
commitment

c) University's  
requirement

## **Hypotheses**

- HA1: MQA's requirement is a contributing factor



that encourages  
knowledge management  
practice among  
academics in CDP, MMU.

- HA2: Academics' commitment is

a contributing factor that encourages knowledge management practice among academics in CDP, MMU.

- HA3: University's requirement is a contributing factor that encourages knowledge management practice among academics in CDP, MMU.

# **Methodology**

The population of interest comprises of the academic from CDP, MMU. Simple random sampling technique will be used to

select sample. It is an unrestricted probability sampling design whereby every element in the population has known an equal chance of being selected as a subject.

A target of 40 academics from CDP, MMU will be randomly selected to be sample for this study.

The time dimension of research would be cross-

sectional due to the fact that this study can be carried out in which data are gathered just once in order to meet the research objective.

The data collection for this research will be done through a quantitative nature that is based on survey technique. The survey will be carried out through a self administered



and e-mailed questionnaire which is meant to be answered by the academics in CDP, MMU.

The questionnaire consists of five sections in which the

academics will be asked to fill up. Section A consists of the individual respondents's demographic characteristics and a nominal scale is used to measure the answers.

Section B is asking the respondents to state their agreement/disagreement on the current issues of knowledge management as adopted from Choi's (2000) study. Each section is cored

using a five-point Likert scale. One of the questions in this section is negatively worded because according to Sekaran (2003), instead of phrasing all questions positively, it is advisable to

include some negatively worded questions so that the tendency in respondents to mechanically circle the points toward one end of the scale is minimized,

especially when the questions are gauging on the respondents subjective feelings such as perception.

Section C is designed to draw information on

respondents' perceived importance and the degree of implementation of the practices of knowledge management in their organization. Ernst & Young, Delphi Group and

Choi's (2000) study on important factors affecting the implementation of knowledge management in organization, is included in this section. Once again,



interval scale is used using a five-point Likert scale.

Section D uses Chois (2000) measurement scales on how knowledge management in general,

contributes to  
organizational  
competitiveness in  
Malaysian firms using the  
five-point Likert scale to  
seek respondents' opinions.

Finally, Section E describes the potential benefits from implementing knowledge management practices in organization. These items were adopted from Bixley's (2000) study. Interval scale

is used where the items in the survey uses five-point Likert scale.

Once the above three hypotheses have been proven, the syllabus

management system will be introduced to the academics in CDP, MMU to practice knowledge management.

# **Syllabus Management System CDP, Syllabus flow**

Currently, CDP is offering 7 different diploma programmes with the duration course of 7

trimesters for each programme. The components of the course structure are divided into 4 parts, which are Mathematics, Core/Major, Electives and University or

LAN subjects. Diploma in Information Technology is one of the pioneer courses offered in CDP, offering 28 subjects. Every two trimesters, coordinators are responsible to update



the syllabi. The syllabus information is divided into two sections; static and variable information. Static information consists of attributes that are fixed and can only be changed upon

the approval of MQA.  
Example of static attributes are subject name and subject code. Variable information consists of attributes that need to be updated from time to time

such as reading materials, learning outcomes of the subject, and details of the subject. Besides that, the coordinator needs to update the version and rename the file based on

the current version -  
current month and year.  
Unfortunately, there are no  
records of who updates the  
syllabus. All archived  
syllabi are then kept by the  
Manager.

## **System Flow**

Interviews were conducted to 6 people, 4 programme coordinators, one Manager and one Deputy Director of CDP. Requirements and the

functionalities of the system are identified and the data collected will represent the information that needs to be captured and represented. UML is used to reflect the system

flow. Figure 1 shows the basic flow of the Syllabus Management System, CD.

# **Figure 2: Use Case Diagram of the Syllabus Management System**

**Please see Figure 2 in full  
PDF version.**

**Analysis**



## **Step 1. Domain and Scope of the Ontology**

In the syllabus domain, the following are some of the possible competency

questions that need to be fulfilled:

- What is/are the subject(s) offered for that trimester?

- What is/are the requisite(s) for the subject?
- What is/are the pre-requisite(s) for the subject?

- Who is/are the lecturer(s) teaching this particular subject?

Judging from the above list of questions, the ontology will include the information

on various syllabus characteristics, pre-requisites, requisites, versions, lecturer's information, subject listing, and text/reference books' information.

## **Step 2. Consider Reusing Existing Ontology**

Currently, there is no existing syllabus ontology found or made available through the internet.

## **Step 3. Enumerate Important Terms in the Ontology**

With the help of the  
guidelines given, some  
important syllabus terms

have been identified.  
Among the terms are  
versions, prerequisites,  
requisites, lecturers, text  
book, assessment, and  
many more.



# Design

## Step 1: Defining the Class Hierarchy

In the next stage, classes are created and are

arranged in a taxonomical manner as shown in figure 2. Figure 3, represents the object-relationship diagram representing the Syllabus Management System.

# **Figure 3: Taxonomy Classes for the Syllabus Management System**

**Please see Figure 3 in full  
PDF version.**

# **Figure 4: An Object-Relationship Diagram for the Syllabus Management System, CDP**

**Please see Figure 4 in full PDF version.**

## **Step 2: Defining Facets and Properties of Slots**

The slots are created, identified and are made available in each class. These terms cover the

information needed to be captured in the classes defined earlier which includes, a subject code, subject name, version id, credit hours, lecturer, and many more. There are slots

that carry different facets describing the values it describes, the type, allowed values, cardinality and other features that it can support. These slots consist of intrinsic properties,

extrinsic properties, parts  
of the object structured and  
as a relationship to other  
instances and slots.



# Implementation

In the implementation stage, prototypes are designed and instances are created by filling in all the information. Once all this is

done, queries are generated to determine the results that enable to answer the competency questions that have been set in the analysis phase.

## **Step 1: Prototype and Instances.**

Layout of the subject is constructed by using the default Protégé 2000

graphical user interface  
(GUI).

## **Step 2: Creating Instances and Filling in Information.**

Once the prototypes have  
been developed, instances

are created and filled in  
desired input information.

## **Testing**

The system prototype and  
the system functionalities

are presented to the six committees who determine whether the system is working accordingly.

Queries are created to show the results produced. The results showed that all the

basic requirements have  
been fulfilled.

## **System Limitation**

The limitation of the  
Syllabus Management



System are as follows: (1)  
The system does not focus  
on the security expect as  
this is the starting phase of  
the project development;  
(2) The system only caters  
for the syllabi offered in

Diploma of Information of Technology (DIT).

Therefore, the course offered is based on the DIT course structure; (3) The buttons of add, edit, delete and view button are

provided by the Protégé GUI, therefore, it is difficult for the users to understand the icon functionalities; (4) the system does not include the details of topics taught in lectures, tutorial and

laboratories, and the hours spend on the defined topics; (5) The system could not display the whole course structure of DIT and (6) There are no access

level implemented in this system.

## **Future Enhancement**

The system can be improved if the following is

implemented: (1) The system should capture more information of the syllabus such as the details of the topics taught and the hours spend; (2) The system should be able to

show the whole course structure; (3) The system should implement the access level and (5) The system should be able to expand the domain so that it will be able to support

more competency  
questions.



## **Significance/ Contributions**

The implication of this study is significant because it focuses directly towards the contributing factors

that encourage knowledge management practice among academics in CDP, MMU. Hence, it allows knowledge management researchers to gauge the current state of knowledge

management research in a systematic and practical manner. In addition, the results of this study will be able to provide an insight into what are the overall perception of knowledge

management and how various factors affect the successful implementation of knowledge management practice and organizational performance among academics in CDP, MMU.

More importantly,  
knowledge management  
makes the transition from  
concept to practice;  
attention must turn to the  
ways in which academic  
practitioners can

implement the growing body of theory. The findings of this study contribute to the practice of knowledge management among the academics in CDP, MMU, whereby this

research provides an opportunity to the practitioners to undergo a self-check for the various important knowledge management areas that this research intend to study.

Moreover, once the hypothesis has been proven through the research, a syllabus management system is used to practice knowledge sharing and this system is a significant tool



which will iteratively and gradually improve and support for the entire programmes offered in CDP, MMU, Malacca.  
Changes are easily made to

suit both the CDP and MQA requirements.

Among the objective of this project is to use the ontology in structuring the Syllabus Management

System for CDP.

Nevertheless, ontology allows the flexibility and reusability of domain knowledge that makes it possible to change the assumptions if the domain

changes. There is no one correct way or a static method in modeling a domain. There are several alternatives to choose from but the best solutions have

been reflected in the system requirements.

The model created is flexible, allowing the integration between ontology and application

and the ability to extend the class hierarchy without restricting its depth or breadth (A.Abu-Hanna et al, 2005). The syllabus management system is flexible and adaptable and

it can be easily suited and integrated to the proven hypothesis for knowledge management practice and sharing of knowledge among academics in CDP, MMU.

## **Conclusion**

Overall, the main objective of this study is to identify and prove that MQA's requirements, Academics' commitment and



University's requirement are among the main contributing factors for knowledge management practice among academic in CDP, MMU. A Syllabus management system helps

in creating and managing the knowledge management practice and sharing knowledge among the academics. The Syllabus management System is developed using Protégé

2000. However, the system only caters for the Diploma Information Technology programme and only certain information is captured to represent the system requirements.

Ontology development is one iterative process that permits knowledge reusability and is the better engineering of knowledge based system with respect

to acquisition, verification  
and maintenance.

This research will be the  
beginning for the  
development of the  
Syllabus Management

System for the CDP, MMU,  
Malacca. Using ontology as  
guidance in structuring the  
system, allows the  
opportunities to expand  
and reuse the system for  
other programmes offered

in CDP. Besides that, with the assistance of ontology tools, it helps the system to manage, distribute, capture and represent the knowledge base of the syllabi and the future

Outcome Based Learning  
activities.



## References

A.Abu-Hanna, R. Cornet,  
Nicolette K., Monica C. & S.  
Tu (2005). 'PROTE' GE' as  
a Vehiclef Developing  
Medical Terminological

System,' Department of  
Medical Informatics, AMC-  
University of Amsterdam.

Abel, M., Benayache, A.,  
Lenne, D., Moulin, C., Barry,  
C., & Chaput, B. (2004),

“Ontology-based  
Organizational Memory for  
E-learning,” *Educational  
Technology & Society*, Vol. 7  
(4), pp.98-111.

Al-Athari, A. & Zairi, M.  
(2001). "Building  
Benchmarking Competence  
through Knowledge  
Management Capability: An  
Empirical Study of the  
Kuwaiti Context,"

*Benchmarking: An  
International Journal*, 4 (3),  
70-80.

Bender, S. & Fish, A. (2000).  
“The Transfer of  
Knowledge and the

Retention of Expertise: The Continuing Need for Global Assignment," *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 4 (2), 125-137.

Bixler, C. H. (2000).  
'Creating a Dynamic  
Knowledge Management  
Maturity Continuum for  
Increased Enterprise  
Performance and  
Innovation,' Unpublished

academic dissertation, The  
George Washington  
University.

Choi, Y. S. (2000). "An  
Empirical Study of Factors  
Affecting Successful



Implementation of  
Knowledge Management,”  
Unpublished academic  
dissertation, University  
Nebraska.

Chong, S. C. (2005).  
'Implementing of  
Knowledge Management  
among Malaysian ICT  
Companies: An Empirical  
Study of Success Factors  
and Organizational

Performance,' Unpublished  
doctoral dissertation,  
Multimedia University,  
Malaysia.

Civi, E. (2000). 'Knowledge  
Management as a

Competitive Asset: A  
Review Marketing  
Intelligence and Planning,'  
*Journal of Knowledge  
Management*, 18 (4), 166-  
174.

Dougherty, V. (1999).

“Knowledge is about People  
not Databases,” *Industrial  
Commercial Training*, 31  
(7), 262-266.

Drucker, P. (1993). 'The Post Capitalist Society,' New York: *Harper Business Press*.

Galagan, P. (1997). "Smart Companies," *Training and*

*Development*, 51 (12), 20-26.

Kirchner, D. (1997). 'The Shape of Things to Come,' *World Traveler*, May, 54-77.

Liebowitz, J. & Chen, Y.  
(2003). "Knowledge  
Sharing Proficiencies: The  
Key to Knowledge  
Management," *Handbook of  
Knowledge Management 1:*



*Knowledge Matter,*  
*Springer-Verlag, 409-424.*

McAdam, R. & O'Dell, C.  
(2000). "A Comparison of  
Public and Private Sector  
Perceptions and Use of

Knowledge Management,”  
*Journal of European  
Training*, 24 (6), 317-329.

Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H.  
(1995). ‘The Knowledge  
Creating Company: How  
Japanese Companies Create

Dynamics of Innovation,'  
New York: Oxford  
University Press.

Sekaran, U. (2003).  
'Research Methods for  
Business: A Skill-Building

*Approach,' New York: John-  
Wiley and Sons.*

Steffen, S., Rudi, S. S.,  
Schnurr, H. P. & Sure, Y.,  
(January/February 2001),  
“Knowledge Processes and

Ontologies,” *Knowledge Management*, pp.26- 34.

Thorsten, L. & Sure, Y.  
(2002). “Introducing  
Ontology-based Skills

Management at a large  
Insurance Company,”

Tobias, Z. (2000).

‘Champions of knowledge,’  
*Computer World*, 34 (40),  
p.84.