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Abstract

Malignant gliomas are highly invasive primary brain tumors that are not known to metastasize outside the central nervous system (CNS). The median survival time of patients with glioma is only 6 months to 2 years depending on the variability in patient's condition, type of tumor and variable treatment parameters. In recent times, Gamma knife (GK) and temozolomide (TMZ) have showed a new dimension for the treatment of gliomas, even these modalities have not able to bring a paradigm shift in overall survival and morbidity. Despite the aggressive current therapeutic interventions such as surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy, improved therapeutic strategies/targets are greatly needed. Interactions between the tumor and its microenvironment are known to regulate malignancies and there is need to focus more research on these pathways in order to develop more reliable therapeutic strategies for the treatment of gliomas. It has also been shown that drug transporters are highly expressed by small population of most type of tumors, providing for a level of resistance which are relatively quiescent and show higher level of DNA repair, and a lowered ability to enter the apoptosis; can provide another therapeutic targets in most of the cancer types including gliomas. The alteration of miRNA expression profile in glioma has also been found to be associated with neoplastic agents, hence open a new direction for the treatment. Therefore, the present review has been focused on some of these new potential targets for therapeutic interventions in the prognosis and treatment of human glioma.
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Introduction

Primary malignant brain tumors are one of the deadliest forms of cancer in both children and adults. Glioblastoma (GBM) has been considered as the most common primary malignant brain tumor in adults that develops de novo or from the progression of lower grade tumor (Phillips et al., 2012). In children GBM is less common. It has a similar histopathophysiology among the various
GBMs are heterogeneous group of tumors, consisting of genotypically and phenotypically divergent population of cells (Bigner et al., 1981; Kleihues et al., 1999). As a result, antigenic expression profile shows a significant level of variation among and within the individual GBMs (Wikstrand et al., 1983). Recent evidences suggest that drug transporters may be important for efficient clinical outcome to modulate or block the function of drug transporters in CSCs. It has also been found that human GBMs some drug transporters such as ABC transporters, over express at both mRNA and protein level that correlate with higher risk of death. Therefore, these transporters can be useful for the prognostic predictors as well as designing of new immunotherapeutic strategies for the treatment of malignant gliomas.

Several brain tumor microenvironment proteins such as heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) have been found to be involved in the progression of cancer at various stages (Sasisekharan et al., 2002). Heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) play vital roles in every step of tumor progression allowing cancer cells to proliferate, escape from immune response, invade neighboring tissues, and metastasize to distal sites away from the primary site. Therefore, finding the significant type of HSPGs in brain tumor microenvironment involved in cancer pathways may provide a better prognosis and will improve the knowledge towards the development of novel therapeutic strategies for the treatment of high grade GBMs.

The modulation of cancer-related miRNAs, either to study their functions or for future applications in therapy, has been receiving a great amount of attention due to several promising results. An important characteristic of miRNA expression modulation is that one miRNA is capable of silencing several genes, in contrast to RNA interference technology, which is capable of silencing only one gene or a few genes belonging to the same gene family. This turns the therapeutic modulation of aberrantly expressed miRNAs into a powerful tool for the treatment of cancer, as well as for the understanding of carcinogenesis.

Gene expression, genomic and proteomic data analysis have showed a great improvement since last few years, but still there are no satisfactory results to discriminate the GBM subtypes. However, in WHO classification for the degree of malignancies shown in the histopathological analysis GBM subtypes have been classified into four grades: Low grade (grade I and grade II) and high grade gliomas (grade III and grade IV) as established by World Health Organization (Louis et al., 2007). Grade I gliomas are relatively benign and identified as best prognostic glioma, whereas grade II tumors consists of some anaplastic cells that further develops into higher grade tumors and shows poor prognosis. Grade III tumors are highly anaplastic and mitotic in nature that becomes fatal even more rapidly as compared to grade I and grade II gliomas. Grade IV gliomas are highly anaplastic and malignant in nature that has been considered as the most aggressive and almost fatal because of its resistance to currently available radio and chemotherapy.
Discrimination of current subtypes of human gliomas on the basis of molecular prognosis will likely to be played important role in developing improved therapeutic strategies. Therefore, there is a great need for understanding the factors involved in tumorigenesis in different tumor subtypes.

In this review we will focus on the genes present in the brain tumor microenvironment, drug transporters and miRNA as major target for the development of potential therapies for the treatment of human gliomas.

Current Progress in Molecular Targeted Therapy and Prognosis for Malignant Glioma

Currently, the examinations used in clinical diagnosis for glioma include computed tomography (CT) scan, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan, angio gram, Mosaic Analysis (Liu et al., 2011), skull x-ray, spinal tap, myelogram and biopsy. But it is still difficult to diagnose in the earlier period of gliomas, until if we can find some specific biomarkers associated with the early development of gliomas, the diagnosis will be easy to decide. Diagnostic biomarkers are used to detect and identify a given type of cancer in an individual. These markers are expected to have high specificity and sensitivity (Kulasingam et al., 2008).

The wonderful progress in advanced molecular technologies has provided a tremendous amount of data that has altered the way in which we classify and categorize human glioma. From the initial identification of chromosomal aberrations by karyotyping and comparative genomic hybridization, we have rapidly moved to expression array studies and to integrative genomic approaches which have allowed the stratification of several human brain tumors into molecular subgroups (Claudia et al., 2013; Kuan et al., 2010; Gerstner et al., 2009; Hormigo et al., 2011; Mischel et al., 2003). These data have not only increased our understanding of the molecular pathogenesis of human brain tumors, but have also identified prognostic markers and opened new avenues for targeted therapies.

There are two main trends in glioma research that have already yielded and probably will yield further molecular biomarkers of clinical impact: the use of large-scale profiling techniques and, mainly driven by the need to work cost-effectively and to increase sample numbers, the formation of large research networks such as The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (TCGA). The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Research Network has been established to generate the comprehensive catalog of genomic abnormalities driving tumorigenesis, but still only a few molecular factors show promise for prognosis such as methylation status of the MGMT promoter (Hegi et al., 2005).
In an attempt to better understand GBM, many groups have turned to high-dimensional profiling studies such as copy number alterations (Beroukhim et al., 2007; Ruano et al., 2006) and gene expression profiling studies identifying gene signatures associated with EGFR, PDGFRα, IDH1 and NF1 genes expression, clinical features, and survival (Shai et al., 2003; Liang et al., 2005; Phillips et al., 2006; Tso et al., 2006).

In the near future, novel insights into the pathogenesis of gliomas are to be expected from ongoing, large-scale collaborative profiling studies addressing the complexity of genetic, epigenetic, transcriptomic, and miRNA changes by high-resolution array-based techniques or deep sequencing approaches. It appears very likely that these studies will uncover novel molecular markers that may further refine the diagnostic assessment of gliomas. However, the future role of molecular diagnostics in neurooncology, in particular concerning the value of predictive markers will also depend on the development and availability of novel therapeutic alternatives to allow for more sophisticated patient-tailored treatment choices based on molecular profiles.

**Genomic and Transcriptional Aberrations Involved in Malignant Glioma**

Human cancer cells typically harbour multiple chromosomal aberrations, nucleotide substitutions and epigenetic modifications that drive malignant transformation. The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) has assessed the value of large-scale multi-dimensional analysis of these molecular characteristics in human cancer.

The glioblastoma cancer genome was the first to be characterised in the concerted efforts of the Cancer Genome Atlas project (TCGA) (McLendon et al., 2008). The pilot project screened a total of 587 samples down to 206, which were used to conduct genome-wide analysis of DNA copy number and gene expression, and DNA methylation screening on a total of 2,305 assayed genes. Of the 206 chosen biospecimens, 21 were post-treatment glioblastoma cases and the remaining 185 represented predominantly primary glioblastomas. In the study, upon statistical analysis of mutation significance in the 91 matched glioblastoma-normal pairs selected for detection of somatic mutations in 601 selected genes, eight genes were found to be significantly mutated: TP53, PTEN, NF1, EGFR, ERBB2, RB1, PIK3R1 and PIK3CA.

Two decades of molecular studies have identified important genetic events in human glioblastomas, including the following: (1) dysregulation of growth factor signalling via amplification and mutational activation of receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) genes; (2) activation of the phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase (PI(3)K) pathway; and (3) inactivation of the p53 and retinoblastoma tumour suppressor pathways. Recent genome-wide profiling studies have also shown remarkable genomic heterogeneity among glioblastoma and the existence of molecular subclasses within glioblastoma that may, when fully defined, allow stratification of treatment. Albeit fragmentary, such baseline knowledge of glioblastoma genetics sets the stage to explore whether novel insights can be gained from a more systematic examination of the glioblastoma genome.

**Brain Tumor Microenvironment Genes Involved in Tumorigenesis**

Currently the prognosis for patients with GBM is challenging, with recent advances in imaging, genome sequencing and proteomic approaches, there is great hope for the early diagnosis and treatment of different grades of glioma in more specific line of attack. Large-scale analysis of bulk tumors have revealed significant differences in expression of genes involved in tumor microenvironment such as proteoglycans and immune response related genes (Phillips et al., 2012).

Several signaling pathway genes have been the target of GBM treatment but only limited clinical success has been achieved. Therefore, there is great need to develop the effective therapeutic strategies.
targeting more comprehensive signaling pathways including modulation by its microenvironment such as many aspects of tumor growth and angiogenesis is regulated by RTK signaling pathway genes, extracellular matrix components (Integrins and metalloproteinases, BCL2L12 and VEGF/VEGFR).

Invasion of tumor cells into the healthy brain tissue is facilitated by expression of different proteolytic enzymes like matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), a family of zinc-dependent endopeptidases (Fillmore et al., 2001; Velasco et al., 2000). They mediate the degradation of protein components of the extracellular matrix (Egeblad et al., 2002). To date, 23 members of the human MMP gene family are known (Nagase et al., 2006). Elevated levels of several MMPs, like for example MMP-1, -2, -7, -9, -11, -12, -14, -15, -19, -24 and -25 have been reported in malignant glioma samples from patients (Velasco et al., 2000; Hur et al., 2000; Lampert et al., 1998; Liano et al., 1999; Nakada et al., 1999; Nakagawa et al., 1994; Nakano et al., 1995), suggesting that their expression is closely related to malignant progression in vivo. Other brain microenvironment proteins such as Integrins constitute a large family of heterodimeric transmembrane glycoprotein receptors that mediate cell adhesion to a wide variety of extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins. The expression of many integrins (av, a2, a3, a5 and a6, b1, b3, b4, a2b1, a4b1, avb3 and avb5) is reportedly increased in malignant gliomas, whereas expression of a6b1 is decreased relative to normal brain tissue. Clinical investigations of integrin inhibitors in gliomas have focused on the utility of the cyclic RGD-containing peptide cilengitide. Cilengitide (EMD 121974, Merck KGaA, and Darmstadt, Germany) is a cyclic pentapeptide (Arg-Gly-Asp-DPhe-(NMeVal)) that binds to integrins avb3 and avb5 with high affinity (Dechantsreiter et al., 1999; Xiong et al., 2002) and has potent anti-angiogenic and antiproliferative effects in cellular and animal glioma models (MacDonald et al., 2001; Mikkelsen et al., 2008). The initial phase 1 clinical trials were conducted in both children and adults with recurrent malignant glioma. Cilengitide was well-tolerated, with limited side effects over a broad range of dose levels, and sporadic tumor responses were noted in this largely heavily pretreated patient population (Nabors et al., 2007; MacDonald et al., 2008).

Interaction between the tumor and constituents of its microenvironment are known to regulate malignancy, specifically Heparan Sulphate Proteoglycans (HSPGs) has been shown of much importance as they bind with diverse type of extracellular proteins, including various growth factors and cell adhesion molecules regulating the activity of several ligand-mediated signaling pathways (Smith et al., 2009; Feyzi et al., 1997; Ono et al., 1999; Kreuger et al., 2001; Ashikari-Hada et al., 2004). HSPGs are the component of extracellular environment in normal brain as well as GBM and are present on cell surface and extracellular microenvironment. HSPGs also promote receptor signaling such as with FGF-2 and VEGF by acting as co-receptors (Dhoot et al., 2001; Ai et al., 2003; Gallagher et al., 2001). HSPG-mediated signaling is also critical for normal brain development (Sarrazin et al., 2011). In GBM, expression levels of multiple HSPGs core proteins and modifying enzymes are significantly altered to normal brain.

Targeting these pathway genes and extracellular matrix components especially HSPGs, and related components of the tumor microenvironment has the potential to simultaneously inhibit multiple oncogenic signaling pathways in tumor cells and to disrupt critical tumor-microenvironment interactions. Therefore, identification of the relevant tumor microenvironment interactions will help to effectively target and treat the GBM.

**Hspgs Core Proteins and Modifying Enzymes as Target for the Treatment of GBM**

HSPGs core proteins are important determinants of cell signaling molecules in tumorigenesis. They specifies localization of proteoglycans and influence both
intracellular and extracellular signaling (Rapraeger et al., 2000). For example, the syndecans (SDCs) can serve as a substrate in cell signaling pathways while binds with cytoskeleton proteins with the help of cytoplasmic domains (Whiteford et al., 2011). Manipulation of syndecan-1 expression has been shown to alter the HGF-Met signaling (Ramani et al., 2011) and Wnt signaling in cancer (Alexander et al., 2000). Other HSPGs, such as perlecan (HSPG2) are also found within the extracellular matrix can facilitate the resolution of inflammation (Hayashida et al., 2009). High levels of syndecan-1 in multiple myeloma correlates with poor prognosis and have been associated with increased tumor growth in animal models (Seidal et al., 2000; Yang et al., 2002).

Alteration in HSPGs vary across the tumor subtypes suggesting that there may be subtype specific HSPGs functions in GBM such as proneural GBM subtype is characterized by alteration in platelet derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) signaling has high SULF-2 expression (Philips et al., 2006).

Understanding the function of HSPGs core proteins and modifying enzymes will be important for the optimization of future therapeutic strategies in context with subtype specific brain tumors (Wade et al., 2013). And can represent significant molecular target to represent clinically relevant, druggable therapeutic target in the treatment of GBM.

**Heparan Sulfate Proteoglycans and Extracellular Sulfatases**

HSPGs consist of a protein core and heparan sulfate (HS) chain. HSPGs play crucial role in cell signaling pathways; hence, HS chain of HSPGs undergo extensive post translational modifications, including sulfation on the 6-O-position of Glucosamine which is critical determinant for the binding of growth factors and normal development (Bink et al., 2003; Bulow et al., 2004; Esko et al., 2001; Habuchi et al., 2004). Recently discovered extracellular sulfatases, SULF-1 and SULF-2, can activate multiple key signaling pathways (Wnt, Shh, GDNF and PDGF) by removing 6-O-sulfates on HS chains and mobilizing protein ligands from HSPG sequestration in the extracellular microenvironment (Phillips et al., 2012).

The identity and levels of HSPGs core proteins are also important determinants of cell signaling. They also localize specific proteoglycans and influence both the extracellular and intracellular signaling such as syndecans (SDCs) are crucial for cell adhesion and migration. Changes in SDCs expression has been shown to alter the HGF-Met signaling (Darksen et al., 2002; Ramani et al., 2011). Other core proteins such as glypicans (GPCs), agrin (AGRN), serglycin (SRGN) and perlecan (HSPG2) are thought to be involved in tumorigenesis and need to be analyzed in different grades of gliomas to identify the better target.

Since HSPGs regulate multiple upstream signaling pathways critical in malignancies, it is of great interest that a recent class of compound has been developed to inhibit some of these oncogenic functions. Heparan sulfate (HS) mimetics are highly sulphated oligosaccharides that inhibit heparanase, sequester HSPGs- binding factors and SULF-2. Hence, can be considered as more valuable therapeutic molecule to inhibit the oncogenic function and terminate the tumor invasion. While HS mimetics have not been yet tested in GBM, represent a promising strategy.

HSPG are also targets of an endoglycosidase known as Heparanase (HSPE) and generates biologically active fragments of Heparan Sulphae (HS) chain. It is upregulated in many cancers including GBM and has been implicated in increased tumorigenesis, tumor angiogenesis and invasiveness (Hong et al., 2010; Ridgway et al., 2011; Kurokawa et al., 2003).

Various studies suggest that tumors enzymatically modify components of the brain tumor microenvironment and help to drive oncogenic signaling and invasion. Disruption of this partnership may be an important therapeutic strategy for the treatment of GBM and other tumors.
Drug Transporters as Target for Cancer Chemotherapy

Drug transporters are key players in the uptake of nutrients such as sugars, amino acids, nucleosides and inorganic ions into an efflux of the xenobiotic toxic substances out of the cells to sustain cell survival. They have been classified into two major families:

a. Solute carrier (SLC) transporters
b. ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters

Transporter proteins that affect drug absorption, distribution and excretion are called drug transporters and confer resistance/sensitivity to anticancer drugs. The functional characterization of these transporter proteins may play a crucial role in the diagnosis of GBM subtypes. A number of studies have revealed that many transporter proteins are being upregulated in malignant tumors compared to normal tissues, suggesting that a differential expression pattern of their existence in cancer can be exploited as a promising strategy for drug designing, and delivery in various types of tumors including GBM.

Glucose Transporters as a Diagnostic Marker and Correlation with Brain Tumors

Glucose is the main source of energy in brain tumors (Allen et al., 1972). Its metabolism begins with transport from the serum to cells and continues through the process of phosphorylation catalyzed by hexokinase (HK). Positron emission tomography with FDG is based on the fact that FDG is similar to glucose, is transported across the blood brain barrier (BBB) and cell membranes and then is phosphorylated by HK to FD-G6-phosphate (FDG6P), which accumulates in tissues at a rate proportional to the rate of glucose utilization (Fig. 2). FDG6P is not metabolized further along the glucose metabolic pathways but is slowly dephosphorylated. FDG and glucose differ in their rates of transport and phosphorylation and respective volumes of distribution in brain or tumor tissue. As a result, FDG metabolism is thought to be proportional to, but not quantitatively equal to glucose metabolism in brain tumors (Spence et al., 1998). Glucose transporter (GLUT-1) has been considered as over expressed in various malignant tumors due to increase in rate of glucose uptake and its metabolism (Barker et al., 1997). Positron Emission Tomography (PET) is an advanced imaging tool that measures regional tissue glucose metabolism has gained considerable attention in clinical interest, reporting one of the most important pathophysiological mechanisms for detecting human malignant tumors.

Fig. 2: FDG Metabolism and Gene Expression in Glioma

In brain tumors, it is still to find that GLUT-1 expression pattern in different grades of glioma and various other tumors including lung, lymphoma, melanoma and breast cancer etc., suggesting that identification of GLUT-1 can be a useful diagnostic tool for human gliomas and should be compared to standard physiological characteristics.

Targeting ABC Transporters in Human Brain Tumors

Beyond playing role in drug resistance, ABC transporters are also thought to be involved in tumorigenesis by creating inflammatory microenvironment through transportation of pro-tumorigenic factors.
(e.g. prostaglandin, cyclic nucleotides and platelet-activating factors etc.) out of cancer cells (Borst et al., 2002; Eilers et al., 2008; Jansen et al., 2003; Sarkadi et al., 2006).

Given the drawbacks of direct transporter inhibition, recent research has focused on elucidating the intracellular signaling pathways that control ABC efflux transporters at the blood brain barrier (BBB). The rationale for this approach is that finding the molecular switches of these transporters will allow selective modulation of transporter function and/or expression for therapeutic purposes in different clinical scenarios. Such an approach has three advantages. First, although direct transporter inhibition leaves little control over the extent and duration of barrier opening, targeting transporter regulation allows more subtle changes in transporter activity so transporters can be turned off for brief, controlled periods of time. Exploring this approach provides a time window during which the barrier is open to deliver normally nonpenetrating CNS drugs and reduces the risk of harmful toxicants entering the brain. Second, direct inhibition that blocks transporter activity can only be used to improve brain drug delivery. Targeting transporter regulation, on the other hand, can also be used specifically to increase transporter expression and/or activity to increase barrier function for therapeutic purposes. Such a strategy provides the opportunity to enhance brain protection and minimize central side effects during treatment of peripheral diseases. Third, BBB ABC efflux transporters are affected by and contribute to CNS disease pathology (Miller et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2010).

Studies show that the efflux transporters P-glycoprotein, BCRP, and MRPs are involved in CNS disorders such as epilepsy, brain cancer, and AD where their role extends beyond that of solely extruding drugs. In such cases, direct transporter inhibition will not be of therapeutic benefit, whereas targeting the signaling pathways that control these transporters could be a useful therapeutic strategy. Thus, understanding the signaling pathways through which BBB ABC efflux transporters are regulated provides opportunities to protect the brain during treatment of peripheral diseases, to improve brain drug delivery to treat CNS disorders, and to prevent pathogenesis or slow the progression of CNS diseases.

The release of pro-inflammatory cytokines in these CNS diseases triggers profound changes in gene expression in the brain and the BBB including changes in expression of ABC drug efflux transporters. ABC transporters might help to create inflammatory microenvironment by transporting pro-tumorigenic factors such as prostaglandins, leukotrienes, cyclic nucleotides, and platelet-activating factor out of cancer cells. Once in the extracellular fluid, these molecules are thought to bind to their extracellular G protein-coupled receptors via autocrine or paracrine signaling and sustain cancer-related inflammation (Fletcher et al., 2010). This mechanism is not yet fully understood, and the exact role ABC transporters play in tumorigenesis needs to be elucidated. However, based on observations that ABC transporters are highly expressed in tumors and tumor stem cells, that they potently confer chemotherapy resistance, and that they may be involved in tumor generation, proliferation, and survival, these transporters may be major targets in cancer therapy (Anika et al., 2010). Thus, identifying pathways that can be specifically targeted to decrease the expression of ABC transporters in cancer will be an important step toward improving chemotherapy of cancer, especially brain tumors.

Pathway Inhibition: Emerging Molecular Targets for Treating Glioblastoma

The current standard of care for newly diagnosed glioblastoma is surgical resection with concomitant daily Temozolomide (TMZ) and radiotherapy followed by TMZ (Stupp et al., 2005). However, almost all patients with glioblastoma experience disease recurrence. Blocking the activation of oncopgenic pathways, either at ligand-receptor interaction level or by inhibiting
downstream signal transduction pathways, inhibits the growth and proliferation of tumor (Toschi et al., 2008; Hagerstrand et al., 2006; Du et al., 2009; Rieger et al., 2008; Allen et al., 1999).

Within the heavily researched pathways, the overarching theme in glioblastoma therapy is that monotherapies demonstrate limited efficacy. Because single-agent therapies have shown no significant benefit, it is critical to begin designing rational combinations. Different RTK inhibitors combined with PI3K/mTOR dual inhibitors or antiangiogenic agents combined with Akt inhibition are already being examined.

Several studies have been demonstrated various novel agents that inhibit targets identified by screening methods or that are based on preclinical studies and experience in other tumors (Perry et al., 2012; Wachsberger et al., 2012). However, further analyses of clinical and molecular data derived from the trials are necessary to verify the relevance of these targets to glioblastoma.

Micro RNA and Gliomas

Micro RNA is small non-coding RNA molecules (~20-25nt), that regulates gene expression at post-transcription level in a sequence specific manner. A number of miRNA has been reported to be overexpressed in GBM (Conti et al., 2009). In a recent report, it has been found that seven miRNAs expression pattern (miR-21, miR-128, miR-132, miR-134, miR-155, miR-210 and miR-409) allows to discriminate between oligodendroglioma and glioblastoma (Conti et al., 2009; Ciafre et al., 2005; Lages et al., 2011). They are thought to play very important role in cell cycle control, cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis (Esquela-Kerscher et al., 2006). Therefore, it can provide a considerable prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target in glioma and other cancer types. To reach this goal different micro RNA expression levels should be identified in comparison with surrounding of the normal brain.

However, the alteration of miRNA expression profile in glioma has been also found to be associated with neoplastic agents (Slaby et al., 2010; Ujifuku et al., 2010; Corsten et al., 2007), thus, functional characterization of these aberrantly expressed microRNAs indicates that they might also function as oncogenes and tumor suppressors (collectively named as “oncomiRs”) may provide potential diagnostic and prognostic tumor biomarkers and represent new therapeutic targets for cancer therapy (Esquela et al., 2006).

Micro RNAs Biogenesis

miRNAs biosynthesis involves a transcription of hairpin-shaped long transcripts generated by RNA polymerase II, followed by the endonucleolytic cleavage, mediated by two type III ribonucleases enzymes (RNase III) known as Drosha (in nuclei) and Dicer (in cytoplasm). miRNA biogenesis is controlled by the multistep miRNA processing pathway (Winter et al., 2009). It is located in intronic regions or within exons of protein-coding genes that can be cleaved by splicing machinery to generate the pre-miRNA (Ruby et al., 2007). This nuclear processing is followed by the transport of pre-miRNA from the nucleus into the cytoplasm via exportin-5 (XPO5) (Yi et al., 2003). In the cytoplasm, the pre-miRNA is cleaved into an approximately 19–25-nt-long mature form of the miRNA by the ribonuclease Dicer (DICER1) (Bernstein et al., 2001) or by a Dicer-independent maturation process that is beginning to be revealed (Güenantes et al., 2010). The mature miRNA is loaded into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), where it initiates translational repression or degradation of target mRNAs (Sevignani et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2007). Thus, miRNA biogenesis is tightly controlled by a set of protein-coding genes that eventually lead to the production of functionally mature miRNAs in the cytoplasm.
Biomarkers are major indicators that serve for diagnosis, prognosis and medication. At present, miRNAs are emerging as novel and practical biomarkers in oncology due to their surprising stability, to be detected in fresh and frozen tissues, but also in body fluids and even formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded samples. Recent studies have raised a possibility that miRNAs may function as predictive markers for therapeutics.

Temozolomide (TMZ) is a well-known alkylating agent against gliomas, which methylates guanines in the O-6 position. Accumulating O-6 methyl guanines lead to DNA mismatch during replication and ultimately result in cell death. Although MGMT (methylguanine methyl transferase) promoter methylation has been proved to be a strongest marker to predict the TMZ response in low-grade and high-grade gliomas (Hegi et al., 2005; Everhard et al., 2006; Stupp et al., 2009), it may not be the only indicator. Recent research indicates that miR-181b/c, miR-195, miR-455-3p and miR-10a-3p, rather than MGMT promoter methylation, predict response to concomitant chemoradiotherapy and acquired TMZ resistance in GBM cell line and patients (Ujifuku et al., 2010; Slaby et al., 2010). In addition, increasing evidences indicate that miRNAs also contribute to other drug response. Various highly efficient MGMT inhibitors have been developed for sensitizing tumor to TMZ (McElhinney et al., 2003). The emerging roles of miRNAs in drug resistance of TMZ and other antineoplastic agents give us a novel angle to include miRNAs as therapeutic targets.
A number of studies have reported changes in the steady-state levels of mature miRNAs in glioma (Ciafre et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2011; Sana et al., 2011). One of the best-characterized events is the elevation of oncomiRs miR-21 and miR-221 (Sana et al., 2011; Chan et al., 2005; Conti et al., 2009; Papagiannakopoulos et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2010; Moore et al., 2010). However, the mechanisms underlying deregulation of miRNA biogenesis in glioma are unknown. The lack of correlation between primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) transcripts and mature miRNAs in tumours and the association of miRNA processing factors with tumourigenesis in cell culture and mouse model studies indicate that deregulation of the biogenesis pathway is likely to be a key player in the aberrant miRNA expression profiles observed in cancer.

**Table 1: Major miRNAs Implicated in Glioma**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>Inhibits apoptosis and promotes invasion</td>
<td>Chr 17 intergenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>miR-221</td>
<td>Promotes proliferation and invasion</td>
<td>Chr X intergenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>miR-222</td>
<td>Promotes proliferation and invasion</td>
<td>Chr X intergenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>miR-9</td>
<td>Inhibits neural differentiation and induce proliferation</td>
<td>Chr 9 sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>miR-124</td>
<td>Induces G0/G1 cell cycle arrest; induce differentiation of neural stem cells</td>
<td>Chr 8 sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>miR-137</td>
<td>Induces G0/G1 cell cycle arrest; induces differentiation of neural stem cells</td>
<td>Chr 1 sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>miR-7</td>
<td>Tumor suppressor; suppresses EGFR expression and independently inhibits Akt pathway</td>
<td>Chr 9 sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>miR-128</td>
<td>Inhibits cell proliferation by targeting Bmi-1 and E2F3a</td>
<td>Chr 2 sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>miR-181</td>
<td>Is down regulated and associated with poor prognosis</td>
<td>Chr 9 sense antisense</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**miRNAs: A Future Scenario**

There is a desperate need for developing innovative therapies for GBM. The clinical potential of delivering tumor-suppressive miRNAs or inhibitors of oncogenic miRNAs has been recently advocated (Brown et al., 2007; Edge et al., 2008; Gomez-Manzano & Fueyo, 2010; Wu et al., 2009). The ability of individual miRNAs to target multiple genes/pathways and, at the same time, the capacity of multiple miRNAs in addressing the same 3’ UTR of a single gene, could be a major advantage, especially given the therapeutic necessity of simultaneously targeting multiple pathways in a multifaceted disease such as GBM.

More interestingly, with recent advances in detecting and quantifying miRNAs in tissue, serum, and cerebrospinal fluid it appears increasingly likely that they would be clinically useful as specific and reliable biomarkers patterns for brain tumors and other cancer diseases (De Smaele et al., 2010).

**Conclusion**

As discussed above, the interest for HSPGs, ABC transporters and miRNA as innovative approach to target these molecules in the treatment of GBM is rapidly increasing. However, as with any novel therapeutic tool further studies are required for their effective translation into clinics in concern with glioma specific tumor targeting.

It is likely that many of the novel therapies discussed in this work will demonstrate greater efficacy when paired with the more studied targeted therapies. Because many of these targets are within the same signaling cascade, inhibiting pathways horizontally rather than vertically should remove some of the compensatory mechanisms glioblastomas use to overcome treatment. It is also important to note that many of the molecular biology advancements will be augmented by advancements in current treatments. Thus, identifying the exact pathway targeted to decrease the expression of ABC transporters and other tumor transcription factors will provide and important target towards the development of novel chemotherapy in the treatment of brain tumors.

As it has been proposed that HSPGs play critical role in tumor growth and metastasis, makes them ripe target for new
therapeutic strategies to block heparan sulfate expression or function in vivo clearly need to be investigated. Refining our understanding about the structural and functional alterations within the tumor microenvironment will lead to develop new exciting therapeutic opportunities for the treatment of GBM.

Over the past few years, treatment of brain tumors has shifted towards the designer drugs. Therefore identification of molecular/genetic profiles of tumors and correlative biomarkers of response or resistance to target therapies is of critical importance in order to develop patient specific individual drugs. Because the number of primary brain tumor patients are limited, collaborative efforts will lead to expedited, efficient and rational clinical trial evaluation of new therapeutic targets in GBM prognosis and treatment.

In conclusion, the uncovering of miRNAs has added a new level of complexity to our understanding in genetics of tumors. At the same time, it revealed a new category of therapeutic targets. There are huge amounts of data from researches that are still to be systematized, and new findings on miRNAs involved in GBM are expected from further analysis. And there is need to for better knowledge of miRNA functions, their interrelationships with other cellular processes and the already available treatments against unwanted proliferation can generate new approaches, such as combined therapies, in which the manipulation of miRNA expression can play a pivotal role.
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