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Abstract

In the service development lifecycle it is worthwhile to distinguish between a conceptual phase, whose goal is the modeling of abstract services, and an implementation phase, that produces concrete services. Both abstract and concrete services are usually specified through functional and non-functional properties and other meta-information in registries called repositories of services. However, several repositories of services may coexist in a single provider organization, each potentially characterized by heterogeneities and conflicting representations, as actually happens in database design for conceptual schemas. In this paper, we present (i) a model for service description in service repositories and (ii) a methodology for the integration of service repositories guided by the discovery of correspondences between services in different repositories to be integrated. Several paradigmatic examples are provided to show the characteristics of our methodology.
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Introduction

The service development lifecycle usually follows stages in a pipeline that may include exploration, planning, design, production, and management, where a service catalogue or repository is a recognized enabler of standardization, more effective service discovery and composition source of knowledge, better management of stakeholder expectations, cost transparency, and pricing mechanisms (Fisher 2008). Notwithstanding the multidisciplinary efforts carried out in Service Science research (Chesbrough & Spohrer 2006), the design and planning of services in digital service ecosystems (Khadka et al., 2011) still sees a focus on the technological perspective as the prevailing one. Nevertheless, the situation is evolving, and it is worth noting that some of the most recent methodologies for the so called Service oriented software engineering life cycle compared in (Gu & Lago 2011), consider two levels of services, that, according to the approach followed, are called respectively business/technological, or abstract/concrete. So, it is now consolidating a view in the service life cycle that
distinguishes among abstract services, providing the template for concrete realization in a particular setting (Oberle et al., 2013); whereas production and management phases consider concrete services as actually realized and implemented in a particular setting, being them manual, semi-automated, or else fully automated.

To challenge the above issues, in the context of the Italian SMART (Services and Meta-Services for smart eGovernment) project (2011-2014), a methodology for the service lifecycle has been defined. A central artifact in the methodology is the repository of (abstract/concrete) services, which plays in the service lifecycle the role of a software applications repository in software engineering and can be used, among others, for (i) aggregation of elementary services into composite services; (ii) identification of correspondences between services and events of life; (iii) assessment and improvement of the efficiency of the service lifecycle, and (iv) optimization of service value.

In order to make a repository of services an integrated representation of all services produced within an organization, we propose to model it with two different semantic relationships (namely, is-a and part-of relationships), originally proposed in the areas of knowledge representation and conceptual modeling, highlighting similarities among services and part-whole relationships.

Methodologies for the conceptual modeling of several types of artifacts have long been investigated in computer science. To fix ideas, we focus on the area of conceptual database design, seen in comparison to abstract service design; the similarities among the two areas stem from the fact that conceptual schemas and (in our proposal) repositories of abstract services have similar structures, since is-a and part-of relationships are the pillars of models adopted for both of them. In modern organizations there can be operating hundreds (and even thousands) of databases developed in different times and by different teams, resulting in an extremely fragmented view of the overall information content managed in the organization.

Database schema integration, a long term research initially proposed, among others, in (Batini et al., 1986) has the goal of producing a reconciled representation of a set of database schemas; this is achieved discovering correspondences among concepts in the schemas, solving structural conflicts, and applying transformations on schemas. It is our point that in a few years, as the distinction between abstract and concrete phases will become more and more a distinctive characteristic in service engineering, a similar situation will emerge.

The proliferation of abstract service repositories, in the same organization or in cooperating organizations, will require methodologies for the integration of service repositories. Such methodologies have also several interesting applications for service provider companies acquisitions and fusions; the assets that are objects of the acquisition/fusion procedure can be modeled in terms of integrated repositories of services.

The integration of service repositories is the issue discussed in the paper. We propose a model for the representation of abstract services and for the representation of relationships among abstract services in a repository.

Further we analyze the possible correspondences and conflicts among service properties, and we propose an integration methodology guided by the discovery of correspondences between services in different repositories. Several paradigmatic examples are provided to show the characteristics of our methodology.

The paper is organized as follows. We first analyze (Section 2) related work in the literature on repositories and integration methodologies. In Section 3 we shortly describe the service lifecycle defined in the
SMART project, highlighting similarities between service design and database design, while in Section 4 we provide the model defined in SMART to represent services and service repositories. Section 5 describes the proposed service repository integration methodology. Finally, Section 6 draws conclusion and discusses future developments.

2. Literature review

To the best of our knowledge, the issue of integration of abstract service repositories is new in the literature, and contributions specifically related to this area are lacking or address it only indirectly. We will see in the following that, probably due to the wide extent of service science, the issue of service repository integration has been so far perceived, although not yet precisely focused.

Service repositories store structured descriptions of services, so they can be considered as a specific type of registry or database. Accordingly, we point out that this research may benefit by considering the literature and experiences on schema integration and ontology integration. Taking these issues into account, in the following we first discuss the role of service repositories in different domains of computer science. Then, we consider different approaches to service, database and knowledge integration.

2.1 The role of service repository

Registries, catalogues, and repositories in Service Oriented Architectures (SOA) are considered both as a technological and as a managerial resource; the two perspectives are sometimes mixed. Furthermore the terms registry, repository and catalogue are used often with similar meanings.

As to the technological perspective, in (Sun Microsystems Inc. 2005) it is observed that a SOA registry-repository is increasingly becoming a middleware solution for managing SOA adoption in organizations. Different information artifacts may describe a service or relate it to other services in a repository, among them: (i) WSDL files describing interfaces and bindings; (ii) XML files describing the documents exchanged by messages; (iii) organizational policies and business rules.

Considering SOA standards, the Universal Description, Discovery, and integration (UDDI) registry presents severe limitations, since it can only store links to service information artifacts, being in such a way a non-integrated solution for linking service components as well. Indeed, integrated solutions face the challenge of managing information on inter-service dependencies in terms of relationships such as Contains, Extends, Implements, Supersedes, and Uses. In our repository of abstract services, dependencies have a conceptual nature, being the is-a and part-of relationships adopted in knowledge representation and conceptual modeling.

As to managerial issues, in (Fisher 2008) it is claimed that a catalogue of IT services is a useful vehicle for achieving standardization, consistency, cost transparency and service level agreement. A catalogue is considered as an important factor for showing what IT services provide to the organization; the catalogue contributes by proactively displaying the offerings.

The important service elements captured by a service catalogue include:
• service name, description, identifier, key users, key support requirements;
• ownership, authority, accounting, change impact, service-level information;
• customer expectations regarding performance, pricing, deliverables;
• target audience, service pre-requisites, service restrictions; instructions for service invocation.

In the approach of (Kohlborn et al., 2009) the service repository is the knowledge used by the service portfolio to support the decision-making process in regard to (i) the introduction of new services; (ii) the improvement or change of existing services; (iii) business decisions geared towards the bundling of multiple services
into one package, and (iv) the marketing and commercialization of services. A service repository plays a relevant role also in the approach to service portfolio management discussed in (Janssen & Feenstra 2006), that defines service portfolio as an instrument guiding decision making about the development, reuse, execution, maintenance and evaluation of services.

The issue of repository integration emerges when we move to papers that adopt a wider approach, considering also managerial, organizational and economic perspectives. In the Manifesto centered on semantically enabled SOAs presented in (Brodie et al., 2005) authors claim that “while SOA is widely acknowledged for its potential to revolutionize the world of computing, that success depends on resolving two fundamental challenges that SOA does not address, integration, and search or mediation”. Furthermore, SOA “requires that the services interoperate or integrate with respect to data, protocol, and process”, and “integration, an open problem of conventional computing, hence one of its greatest costs, led to SOA but is not resolved by SOA”.

As to integration seen from a managerial point of view “the demand for integration is enormous. In conventional computing, integration accounts for over 50% of application development budgets”. In the same paper, when citing (White & Abrams 2005), it is indirectly introduced the concept of integration of (service) repositories, when it is said that integration “assures semantic persistence and consistency between multiple data repositories, independent of any application, service or user request”.

Integrated service modeling is claimed in (Wimmer & Tambouris 2002) and (Tambouris 2001) to be the most critical and effective goal in e-government projects to achieve the “one-stop-shop” approach in interactions between Public Administration and citizens. The role of a service repository in platforms for one-stop-shop of e-Government services is discussed in (Wimmer 2002).

### 2.2 Service, data and knowledge integration

Integration has been investigated in various fields of computer science, as a way to combine, reconcile and make interoperable different types of artifacts related to one or more organizations, their activities and owned ICT technologies and infrastructures. The integration of services, data schemas, and software components is a key issue in all information systems where several levels of cooperation have to be established between different organizations or players (Kamal et al., 2009; Khoumbati & Themistocleous 2006).

An area that has inspired the research issues dealt with in the paper is data management, where integration has long been investigated as to schema integration (Batini & Lenzerini 1984), and more recently as to data integration (Lenzerini 2002); with reference to schema integration, the research moved from a clean definition of correspondences, conflicts and heterogeneity in data bases (Spaccapietra & Parent 1994; Spaccapietra et al., 1992) to a focus on semantic integration through ontologies (McGuinness D. 1998), (Wache et al., 2001). Four types of conflicts are considered e.g. in (Spaccapietra & Parent 1994): a. semantic conflicts, b. descriptive conflicts, c. heterogeneity conflicts, and d. structural conflicts. Integration of knowledge is discussed in several papers, e.g. (Bell et al., 1995). The alignment and integration of ontologies is investigated in (Wang & Gasser 2002), where information integration is enabled by having a precisely defined common terminology.

### 3. Service design lifecycle in Smart and database design: a common framework

In the context of the SMART project, a methodology for the service lifecycle has been defined. Such methodology consists of five phases:
1. **Planning**, in which the strategic long-term activities in the production and delivery of services are identified.
2. **Reconstruction of the abstract and concrete services as-is and of the related public and private processes**, with an evaluation of the level of service quality and value in use for the users.
3. **Design of the abstract services to-be and of the related public processes**, in which the architectural choices are taken.
4. **Production of the concrete services to-be and of the related private processes**, in which services and processes are realized.
5. **Management**, in which services are delivered, and the resources for the maintenance or renovation of service levels are provided.

While developing the methodology, we have been implicitly inspired by database design methodologies that were conceived in 80s and 90s, see Section 2 for a comprehensive reference. We may observe that (see Figure 1):

```
Figure 1: Database design and service design as related disciplines
```

1. A database is a representation of a set of states of the real world. Literature in databases distinguishes between an intension or *schema*, that represents a set of concepts and relationships between them, and an extension or *instance*, that represents evolving states of the real world in terms of values, whose corresponding classes are concepts and relationships in the schema.

2. The activity of database design clearly distinguishes between a conceptual step, whose goal is to conceive a schema represented in a conceptual model, e.g. the Entity Relationship model, and a logical step, whose goal is to translate the conceptual schema in a logical schema expressed in terms of a relational or XML model adopted in a database management system (ElMasri & Navathe 2011).

3. In service design we may identify a similar distinction; differences lie in the fact that services correspond to changes of states of real world, and the outputs of conceptual and logical design correspond to repositories of abstract and concrete services. In the next section we will describe the conceptual model adopted for abstract service repository creation.

4. **A conceptual model for abstract service descriptions**

In this section, we introduce the conceptual model adopted for abstract service description. First of all, we introduce the concept of service. Among the different definitions proposed in the literature, we adopt the definition of service in (Grönroos 1990) integrated with the perspective proposed in (Normann & Ramírez 1993).
Definition 1 (service): a service $s$ consists in an activity or series of activities \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\} of more or less intangible nature, that take place in an exchange between a supplier and a customer, where the object of the transaction is an intangible good, so that both the supplier and the customer co-create and obtain value from the transaction. The exchange produces a change of state in the portion of real world of interest for the customer.

As in the design of databases also in the design of services it is worthwhile to distinguish two representation levels, corresponding to:

Definition 2 (abstract service): an abstract service is a conceptual description of a service, expressed in a model independent form from their implementation. An example of abstract service is “Hotel Reservation”, a conceptual description of the main characteristics that a service for reserving a room in a hotel must have. The abstract service cannot be invoked.

Definition 3 (concrete service): a concrete service is provided by a specific provider and expressed in terms of a specific implementation. An example of concrete service is “Splendor Hotel Reservation”, a description of the main characteristics of the service for reserving a room in the Splendor Hotel. The service can be invoked and the characteristics can be verified and monitored.

Abstract services, considered in the following, are characterized by the following properties: (i) a name; (ii) a set of functional properties; (iii) a set of non-functional properties; (iv) a data schema.

Definition 4 (functional properties): functional properties $FP(s) = \{fp_1, \ldots, fp_n\}$ of a service $s$ define what the service does for the customer. Each $fp_i$ in $FP(s)$ enables a change of state of the real world, coherently with the goals expressed by the users in requirements collection. Considering e.g., a service for “Hotel Reservation”, an associated functional property is “reserve a room”.

In the following, functional properties will be defined through natural language descriptions, in such a way that they can be seen as an extension of the service names.

Definition 5 (non-functional properties): non-functional properties $NFP(s) = \{nfp_1, \ldots, nfp_m\}$ of a service $s$ define how the service performs the change of state associated with the functional properties. Non-functional properties have been investigated by several authors, such as (O’Sullivan et al., 2005), (Becha & Amyot 2012), and (Zeng et al., 2004) and they concern technical qualities, business terms and legal aspects of the service. Following our example on the “Hotel Reservation”, examples of NFPs are price and payment options. Let's note that, since we are considering an abstract service, the NFPs do not assume specific values.

Definition 6 (data schema) The data schema describes at a conceptual level, adopting the Entity Relationship model, the portion of real world on which the service operates. An example of data schema for the “Hotel Reservation” service is reported in Figure 2.
Coming back to the parallel between database design and service design in Figure 1, in conceptual database design the schema is represented as a set of entities and relationships between them; it seems worthwhile in conceptual service design to represent abstract services, rather than as a set (of unrelated services), as a schema made of services and conceptual relationships among them. We focus in the following on two basic conceptual relationships adopted in conceptual modeling (Batini et al., 1991, Olivé 2007): the part-of and the is-a relationships.

**Definition 7 (part-of relationship):** A part-of relationship holds between a service $s_1$ (the part) and a service $s_2$ (the whole) when $s_1$ is one of the components into which $s_2$ can be divided. The production of service $s_1$ contributes in part to the production of service $s_2$.

Part-of relationships induce a distinction between two types of services: **elementary** and **composite** services. Basically, a service $s_j$ is an elementary service if and only if it does not exist a service $s_i$ with a part-of relationship with $s_j$. If the opposite holds, $s_j$ is a composite service.

**Definition 8 (is-a relationship):** An is-a relationship holds between a service $s_1$ (child service) and a service $s_2$ (parent service) when $s_1$ is a specialization of $s_2$. $s_1$ inherits all the properties of $s_2$ and $s_1$ has additional properties not owned by $s_2$.

We need also to adopt a graphical convention for representing a service $s$ and the two sets of services that are in part-of and in is-a relationship with $s$. In Figure 3 we show the representation of the "change of address" service and two groups of services that are related with it through is-a and part-of hierarchies.
We now have available all the machinery to introduce the concept of repository of (abstract) services.

Definition 9 (repository of services): A repository of services is the set of services $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$, together with the part-of and is-a relationships defined among them.

5. A methodology for service repositories integration

In this section, we describe a methodology for the integration of service repositories. Basically, the methodology supports the process whose pictorial representation is exemplified in Figure 4.

Figure 3: Examples of is-a and part-of relationships

Figure 4: An example of service repository integration
The methodology for service repository integration has in input two service repositories \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) and produces in output an integrated service repository \( IR \). It is organized in three phases: (i) pre-integration, (ii) correspondence elicitation and analysis, and (iii) integration. We now describe in detail the three phases.

### 5.1 Pre-integration

In this phase we carry out a normalization activity on the properties of services of the two repositories, in such a way to homogenize as far as possible service descriptions, and consequently make easier subsequent correspondence elicitation and analysis.

The normalization may involve complex functional properties that make implicit the presence of lower level services. We make an example to illustrate this point. The service "Localization of points of interest" has the functional property "Identify a museum OR identify a park OR identify a historic mansion OR identify a historic monument that can be of interest for the user" meaning that the localization service spans over the four places mentioned in the property. It is worthwhile to transform the service creating four new services referring each one to a specific type of point of interest and associating an is-a relationship between the service itself and the four new services. In this way, we favour the identification of correspondences with services in the second repository.

After the normalization of functional properties, we proceed with the normalization of non-functional properties. We assume to adopt a vocabulary of non-functional properties, where each property is defined with a name, possible values and dependencies with other properties. The normalization consists in checking the conformity between the description in the repository and the adopted vocabulary. Let us consider the following example: a service is characterized by the non-functional property service payment. This property does not conform to the adopted vocabulary, where three different properties (i.e., absolute price, tax on price, payment method) are proposed to express the service payment property. Therefore, the property must be substituted with the three mentioned properties.

### 5.2 Correspondence elicitation and analysis

In the correspondence elicitation and analysis phase, services of two repositories \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are analyzed in order to identify the presence of correspondences among them. Correspondences among two services \( s_1 \) in \( R_1 \) and \( s_2 \) in \( R_2 \) relate the whole services \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) and their properties (names, functional properties, non-functional properties and data schemas), putting in evidence semantic relationships between them. In this paper, we focus on four different types of correspondences between services \( s_1 \) in \( R_1 \) and \( s_2 \) in \( R_2 \):

1. **Identity**, when \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) correspond to the same service. An example of identity is the case of two “change of address” services with identical properties.

2. **Is-a**, when \( s_2 \) is a specialization of \( s_1 \) e.g., the service “change of address between two foreign countries” is a specialization of the service “change of address”. This type of correspondence leads to the definition of an is-a relationship in the integrated repository.

3. **Part-of**, when \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) are in a part-whole relationship, e.g., the service “payment for electronic passport” is a part of the composite service “release of passport”. This type of correspondence results in a new part-of relationship in the integrated repository.

4. **Relatedness**, when \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) are recognized as different services sharing similar properties and, as a consequence, they are potential siblings in an is-a hierarchy. An example of relatedness is the case of services "authorization to open a cafeteria" and "authorization to open a restaurant".
5. **Unrelatedness**, when \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) are different services and no semantic relationship is defined between them.

The elicitation of correspondences between services \( s_1 \) in \( R_1 \) and \( s_2 \) in \( R_2 \) is guided by the analysis of their properties. In this paper, we propose to perform first the local analysis of properties and then the global analysis of the two services as a whole.

Figure 5 shows possible results of the local analysis of each type of property. Since in the reference model of Figure 2 names and functional properties consist in descriptions in natural language, their local analysis determines if the descriptions are identical, similar or unrelated. The local analysis of non-functional properties, defined as a set of terms taken from a reference vocabulary, determines if the sets are equal, disjoint or partially overlapping. The local analysis of data schemas is such that: (i) names of entities, relationships and attributes are compared in order to identify semantic relationships between them; (ii) types of concepts are compared to identify similarities and dissimilarities.

The local analysis of schemas is supported by the usage of WordNet (http://wordnet.princeton.edu/), a large lexical database of English terms, where nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs are grouped into sets of cognitive synonyms (synsets), each expressing a distinct concept. Synsets are interlinked by means of conceptual-semantic and lexical relations (i.e., hyponym, hypernym).

### Names and functional properties

- Identical
- Similar
- Unrelated

### Non functional properties (sets of)

- Same set
- Inclusion
- Overlap
- Disjunction

### Data schemas

1. Names of concepts (Entity, Relationship, Attribute)
   - Identity
   - Synonymy
   - Hyponym-Hypernym
   - Unrelated
2. Types of concepts
   - Same concept, same types
   - Same concept, different types (e.g., Attribute vs Entity)
   - Different cardinalities between two Relationships representing the same concept

**Figure 5: Correspondences between properties of services**

The results of local analysis steps must be jointly evaluated in the global analysis to identify which type of correspondence exists between the two services. Let consider the example in Figure 6. Services are identical in terms of names, functional properties and data schemas but their non-functional properties are different (the set of the second service is included in the set of the first one). In particular, the difference is on properties related to service payment. The global analysis of the service properties leads to the conclusion that services are different. The correspondence of the two services is of “relatedness”, since they are two specializations (i.e., “rent a free bike” and “rent a charged bike”) of the same service “rent a bike”.
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1. For each "identity correspondence" in M between \( s_i \) and \( s_j \)
   - Merge \( s_i \) and \( s_j \);
   - Delete row of \( s_j \) from M.

2. For each "is-a correspondence" in M between \( s_i \) and \( s_j \)
   - Add a new is-a relationship in IR between \( s_j \) and \( s_j \);
   - (if applicable) push services up in the new is-a hierarchy.

3. For each "part-of correspondence" in M between \( s_i \) and \( s_j \)
   - Add a new part-of relationship in IR between \( s_j \) and \( s_j \).

4. For each "relatedness correspondence" in M between \( s_i \) and \( s_j \)
   - (if necessary) rename \( s_j \) and/or \( s_j \);
   - Add a new service \( s_X \) in IR;
   - Add a new is-a relationship in IR between \( s_j \) and \( s_X \) and between \( s_j \) and \( s_X \).

2. Fine-grained Integration

Goal: add service properties in the integrated repository IR.

Input:
- properties of services in R1 and R2;
- correspondence matrix M produced in the previous phase;
- integrated repository IR.

Activity:

For each identified correspondence in M between \( s_i \) and \( s_j \) and for each service property of the reference model,
- identify the rules to be adopted to merge the properties in IR considering the results of the local analysis. Figure 7 shows
examples of rules for integrating properties of services for the identity correspondence; apply the rules.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>correspondence property</th>
<th>local analysis</th>
<th>rule for merging $s_i$ and $s_j$ in IR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>name + functional properties</td>
<td>identical</td>
<td>keep the same name and FPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>similar</td>
<td>choose the most expressive name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unrelated</td>
<td>define a new name and FPs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non functional properties</td>
<td>the same set</td>
<td>keep the same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inclusion</td>
<td>keep the largest set</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overlap</td>
<td>merge the two sets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disjunction</td>
<td>merge the two sets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>data schema (names of concepts)</td>
<td>identity</td>
<td>keep the same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>synonymy</td>
<td>choose the most expressive name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyponym-hyponym</td>
<td>keep the hyponym</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>data schema (types of concepts)</td>
<td>same concept, same type</td>
<td>keep the current types of concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>different types</td>
<td>choose the most expressive type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>different cardinalities</td>
<td>choose the most restrictive cardinality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7: rules for integrating properties of services with an identity correspondence

5.4 Examples of repository integration

An exhaustive discussion of all procedures to solve all cases of correspondences in the integration process is outside the scope of the paper. In the following, we show several paradigmatic examples.

Example 1 – An heterogeneity in the data schemas drives the integration process.

In Figure 8 we have the case of two services that at a first glance could be considered identical. Looking in more detail at the two data schemas, we see that the two relationships in the data schemas, while representing the same concept have different cardinalities. This difference leads us to the conclusion that the two services are different although having the same name. They produce a different change of state in the portion of real world of interest for the customer: the first one produces the rent of a bike for a single person, the second one the rent of a tandem for two persons. So, in the global analysis we identify a correspondence of type “relatedness”, since the two services are potential siblings in an is-a hierarchy.
Figure 8: An example of correspondence elicitation driven by an heterogeneity in the data schema

Figure 9 shows the result of the integration process of the two services in Figure 8. According to the proposed coarse-grained integration, the identified relatedness correspondence leads to: (i) rename the two "rent a bike" services in "rent a bike for single person" and "rent a tandem", (ii) create a new service "rent a bike", (iii) create two is-a relationships between the new service and the two renamed services.

The rule used for fine-grained integration consists in pushing-up common service properties in the is-a hierarchy. This rule focuses on the inheritance property of is-a relationships, i.e., properties of the parent service are inherited by the child service. Since functional and non-functional properties of "rent a bike for single person" and "rent a tandem" are identical, they are attributed to their parent (rent a bike) in the is-a hierarchy.

Figure 9: The result of the integration process of the two services in Figure 8.
Example 2 - A discovered synonymy guides the integration process.

In Figure 10 we have the case of two services that at a first glance appear similar but not identical. The local analysis of non-functional properties and data schemas reveals that the two services produce the same change of state in the portion of real world of interest for the customer. Basically, there is a correspondence of type “identity” between them. In the coarse-grained integration, the two services are merged. Then, for the fine-grained integration rules in Figure 7 (a. "keep the most expressive name and FP", b. "keep the same (set)", c. "keep the same (names)" and d. "keep the current types of concepts") are used.

Example 3 – Joint analysis of non functional properties and data schemas leads to the discovery of an is-a correspondence.

The local analysis of names and functional properties reveals that the services in Figure 11 are similar. The local analysis of non-functional properties and data schemas provides additional information for the identification of correspondences between the two services: (i) the set of non-functional properties of "rent a mean of transport" is included into the set of "rent a bike", and (ii) the term “mean of transport” is an hypernym of "bike". The results of the local analyses lead to the identification of correspondence of type "is-a" between the two services.

---

Carlo Batini and Marco Comerio (2016), Communications of the IBIMA, DOI: 10.5171/2015.790219
In Figure 12 we show the result of the integration process of the two services in Figure 11. The coarse-grained integration leads to the creation of an is-a relationship between the two services. The rule used for fine-grained integration consists in pushing-up common service properties in the is-a hierarchy. Since the two services share four non-functional properties, such properties are attributed to the parent service ("rent a mean of transport") in the is-a hierarchy.

![Integration Diagram](image)

**Figure 12:** The result of the integration process of the two services in Figure 11.

**Example 4** – Joint analysis of functional properties and data schemas leads to the identification of a part-of correspondence between two services.

In Figure 13 we have the case of two services that at a first glance appear unrelated. The local analysis of functional properties reveals that the “buy a park ticket” service offers two functionalities (i) buy a full-ticket for park entrance, and (ii) rent a bike. The latter coincides with the functionality offered by the "rent a bike" service. This local analysis suggests investigating if a correspondence of type “part-of” exists between the two services. This assumption is confirmed by the local analysis of data schemas since (i) some identities between names are present and (ii) the schema associated to the service “rent a bike” is a view of the schema associated to the service “buy a park ticket”. In the integrated repository a new part-of relationship between services is defined.
Conclusions

A service repository is actually an information base, containing reconciled and structured information on the whole set of services provided by a given organization. The proliferation of service repositories in the same organization or in cooperating organizations requires focusing the attention on a methodology for the integration of service repositories. In this paper, we presented a model for service description in service repositories and a methodology for the integration of services repositories guided by the discovery of correspondences between services in repositories to be integrated. Several paradigmatic examples have shown the feasibility of the methodology. Future work deals with (i) the design and implementation of a tool to support the adoption of the proposed methodology for service repository integration and, (ii) the experimentation on real case studies.
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