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**Abstract**

The effect of ultrasonic debridement on cleaning isthmuses and the effect of obturation techniques on filling of isthmuses in mandibular first molars were evaluated.

Sixty molars with two mesial canals were instrumented by rotary instruments, divided into four groups (15 each). Group 1 & 2 were irrigated by PUI (passive ultrasonic irrigation) or by hand irrigation with %5.25 NaOCl and not obturated. Group 3 & 4 obturated by Microseal or lateral condensation after passive ultrasonic irrigation. The roots were sectioned at horizontally different levels, the quantity of debris was evaluated under stereomicroscope and the percentage of obturated area in isthmus was statistically analyzed (ANOVA and Newman-Keuls).
The highest incidence of isthmus was found in the 3.5 mm sections of the roots.

PUI was found to be more effective than hand irrigation to clean isthmuses in mandibular molars (p<0.05). More gutta-percha content was found in Microseal obturation than lateral condensation (p<0.05).

Ultrasonic instruments were found to be effective to clean isthmuses and Microseal was superior to lateral condensation technique on obturating isthmuses.
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Introduction

The main objective of endodontic therapy is to clean the entire pulp cavity and complete it with an inert filling material. Because the root canal system has a complex anatomy, it is difficult to shape and clean the root canal completely. Small isthmuses and irregularities have been shown to be inaccessible to conventional hand and rotary instrumentation.\textsuperscript{1} Ingle\textsuperscript{2} concluded that 60\% of endodontic failures are caused by incomplete obturation of the root canal. The other main reasons include untreated canals, accessory canals and the presence of an isthmus.

Isthmuses are present in all types of roots in which two canals are normally found.\textsuperscript{3} The incidence of isthmus was found around %30 in mandibular premolar, 60\% in mesiobuccal roots of maxillary first molar with two canals.\textsuperscript{4} However, the prevalence
of isthmuses in the mesial root of mandibular molars has been observed to be as high as 80%. They are poorly accessible to root canal instruments and untreated isthmuses can cause failure of conventional root canal therapy or apical surgery, especially in posterior teeth.

The outcome of endodontic treatments is improved by the development of new techniques and new instruments. The use of ultrasonics in endodontics has made it especially easy to clean difficult anatomical features like accessory canals and isthmuses. The first findings on the technique of root canal therapy using an ultrasonic instrument were reported by Richman. Martin et al. found that the root canals of teeth that were ultrasonically filed and irrigated were cleaner when compared with the conventional methods, and that the smear layer appeared to be greatly reduced. The cleaning efficacy of ultrasound appears to be
promising when used only for irrigation after the root canal has been instrumented.\textsuperscript{8} Weller et al.\textsuperscript{9} concluded that the most effective debridement occurred when ultrasonication was used after completion of hand instrumentation. It has also been demonstrated that an irrigant in conjunction with ultrasonic vibration, which generates a continuous movement of the irrigant, is directly associated with the effective cleaning of the root canal space. Ahmad et al.\textsuperscript{8} described that when files were activated with ultrasonic energy, acoustic streaming was sufficient to produce cleaner canals, compared with hand filing alone. They showed that the flushing action of irrigants could be enhanced by using ultrasonication.\textsuperscript{8,10,11} This seemed to improve the efficacy of irrigation solutions in removing organic and inorganic debris from root canal walls.\textsuperscript{2,7,12}
In the past, the canal isthmus was often overlooked and it was also difficult to prepare if located. However, previous studies showed that passive ultrasonic irrigation removed more dentin debris from the isthmus, oval extensions in the root canal and irregularities from the root canal wall.\textsuperscript{1} The recognition and management of the canal isthmus is an important factor that may improve the success rate of surgical and non surgical endodontics specially in posterior teeth.\textsuperscript{13}

There are a few studies on the subject of the cleaning of isthmuses\textsuperscript{1,6,9} but none on obturating them. Because the isthmuses usually have the vital and infected part of the pulp, if it had not been cleaned and obturated during the conventional root canal treatment or before surgical procedure, it could contribute to failure of the case. Nowadays, it is possible to clean isthmuses by using ultrasonics and then to obturate them by using the
warm gutta-percha technique. The aim of this study was to investigate the cleaning action of ultrasonic irrigation and the obturation effect of different techniques on isthmuses in mandibular molars.

**Materials and Methods**

Sixty extracted first mandibular molars with two mesial canals in one root exhibiting a single oval foramen were selected. The access cavity was opened and all canals were instrumented by using rotary Hero Shaper instruments (Micro Mega, Besancon, France). According to the manufacturer instruction, each canal was instrumented by No # 25 file with % 6 and 4 % taper and finally with #30 % 4 taper instrument. The canals were irrigated by 2ml of 5.25 % NaOCl solution after each instrument usage. The experimental teeth were divided equally into 4 groups:
Experimental Group

Group 1. The teeth were irrigated by using passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI) (n=15).

Group 2. The teeth were irrigated by hand irrigation (n=15).

Group 3. The teeth were obturated by warmed lateral condensation of gutta-percha technique (Microseal) after irrigation by PUI (n=15).

Group 4. The teeth were obturated by cold lateral condensation of gutta-percha after irrigation by PUI (n=15).
Group 1 (PUI)

Ultrasonic irrigation was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (at the highest power setting for 60 s) and applied in each mesial canal of the teeth with approximately 2 ml of 5.25 % NaOCl by using ultrasonically activated size #15 endo file (EMS, Nyon, Switzerland, DT_006, 007, 008, 009, 010).

Group 2 (Conventional Irrigation)

The mesial canals were irrigated with 2 ml of 5.25 % NaOCl and 2ml of 17% EDTA by using a syringe gauge (2 ml) for 60 s.
Groups 3 and Group 4 (Obturated after PUI)

Mesial canals of 15 teeth were obturated by the Microseal technique (group 3) and the remaining 15 teeth were obturated by the lateral condensation technique (group 4) after ultrasonic irrigation by 5.25 % NaOCl, using the ultrasonically activated size #15 endo file for 1 min.

**Obturation Microseal Technicus** (Tycom, Irvine, CA, USA): An appropriate size of master cone was placed into each mesial canal until tug-back was elicited. The appropriate spreader was selected to compact the master cone, 1.0 mm shorter than the working length. Finally, the appropriate accessory mechanical compactors were selected, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Freshly mixed Kerr sealer was placed into each mesial canal and sealer-covered master gutta-percha was seated.
The spreader was advanced alongside the master cone at the working length for compaction. A tapered void was formed between the compacted gutta-percha cone and the root canal walls by the withdrawal of the spreader from the canal. The appropriate compactor was placed in the heated gutta-percha cartridge and was sealed with a uniform layer of material. The gutta-percha-coated compactor was then inserted to the void previously created in the canal by the spreader, and was applied as close to the working length as possible, avoiding rotation as it was inserted. A resisting force was applied to the compactor’s backing-out motion without any apical pressure and rotation of the compactor was started at a speed of 6000 rpm. The compactor was removed after approximately 3 seconds. Rotation continued until the compactor was removed fully from the canal. If the canal was not completely obturated, more gutta-percha cones were placed on the compactor. Protruding gutta-percha
and sealer were removed from the access cavity by using a cotton pellet.

**Obturation Lateral Condensation Technique:** No #35 gutta-percha master cone (Hygenic Corp, Akron, Ohio) was fitted to within 0.5 mm of the working length of each mesial canal. Freshly mixed Kerr sealer was placed into the canal. Appropriate spreader was inserted 1 mm shorter than the working length. No #25 gutta-percha cone was inserted as an accessory cone followed by smaller size spreader insertion. This procedure was continued until the canal was considered to be obturated adequately with smaller size of points, and spreader could no longer penetrate beyond the coronal third of the canal. After complete canal obturation the coronal gutta-percha was removed with a hot instrument.
All distal roots were removed and the mesial roots were embedded in orthodontic clear acrylic resin. A rotary saw with a diamond blade water (Isomet, Buehler; USA) was used to make cross-sectional slides through the embedded teeth. To reduce a smear layer of gutta-percha, the sections were made using cold-water irrigation. The roots were sectioned at 1.5 mm, 2.5 mm, 3.5 mm and 4.5 mm from the anatomic apex. Unobturated canals were dyed with 2 % methylene blue to expose the debris and isthmus better under the microscope.

Photographs of every section from all the groups were taken at an original 50X magnification by means of a stereomicroscope (Imaging Systems, Leica Ltd, Cambridge, England) with a digital camera (Fig. 1-4).
1. The incidence of isthmus was recorded according to the classification used by Hsu and Kim.³

2. The amount of debris in the isthmus was classified by using the scoring system reported by van de Sluis and Wesselink.¹⁴ A higher score indicated a greater amount of debris:

Score 0: The entire isthmus was free of debris

Score 1: Less than half of the isthmus was filled with debris

Score 2: Half and more than the half of the isthmus was filled with debris

Score 3: The entire isthmus was filled with debris
The examination was performed by three independent investigators using this scoring system. For statistical analysis, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used. The level of significance was set at $p=0.05$.

3. The areas of the canal and gutta-percha, sealer or voids were outlined and then measured by Image pro-plus computer program (IPWIN application, Media Cybernetics, Inc.) for both obturation techniques. All measurements were evaluated by means of one way ANOVA and the Newman-Keuls test.

**Results**

The incidence of an isthmus is shown in Table 1. The highest incidence of isthmus was found in the 3.5 mm sections of the roots.
Table 1. Incidence of an İsthmus at Each Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level from apex (mm)</th>
<th>Percent of isthmuses (%) (n= 60 teeth)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The use of ultrasound irrigation (Fig. 1) after rotary instrumentation resulted in better cleanliness of the isthmus compared with hand irrigated specimens (Fig. 2), (Table 2) in all levels (Group 1 versus 2) (p<0.05).
Fig. 1: Cross Section of a Root Canal Isthmus irrigated with PUI
Fig. 2: Cross Section of a Root Canal Isthmus Irrigated with Hand Irrigation
When the obturation techniques were compared, the Microseal technique (Fig. 3) was found to be superior to the lateral condensation technique (Fig. 4) in obturating isthmuses after irrigation by ultrasonics. The gutta percha and sealer contents in the two techniques are shown in Table 3.
Fig. 3: Cross Section of a Root Canal Filled with Microseal, 2.5 mm from the Apex
Fig. 4: Cross Section of a Root Canal Filling with Lateral Condensation, 2.5 mm from the Apex
Table 3. Content of Gutta Percha and Sealer of Two Techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obturation Technique</th>
<th>Gutta-percha + Sealer (%)</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microseal</td>
<td>% 96.22*</td>
<td>±4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Condensation</td>
<td>% 89.77*</td>
<td>±8.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(p<0.05)

Discussion

Isthmus which is described as anastomosis or corridor between two canals is a significant factor in the thorough debridement of the root canal system specifically in maxillary and mandibular molars. Studies have shown that the highest isthmus incidence was found in mesial canals of mandibular first molars range
between 63 % and 100%. Also, the highest incidence of isthmus was found at 4 to 6 mm from apex.\(^3\) In the present study, the highest isthmus incidence was found in 3.5 mm of apex in mesial canals of mandibular first molars. These findings are quite important especially in apicoectomy since failures rate are quite high in premolars and molars surgery that may be due to isthmuses.

This study showed that passive ultrasonic irrigation cleaned up the irregularities of the root canals, such as isthmuses, better than hand irrigation. This finding can be attributed to the action of the ultrasonically activated irrigation solution within the canal system. Isthmus cleanliness values improved significantly from the 1.5 mm to the 3 mm level when passive ultrasonic irrigation was used after rotary instrumentation.
The effect of irrigation time when using ultrasonic irrigation is not clear in literature. Sabin et al.\textsuperscript{15} reported that 30 s to 1 min of ultrasonic activation was sufficient to produce clean canals, whereas Krell et al.\textsuperscript{16} recommended the use of ultrasonic irrigation for 2 min. Van de Sluis et al.\textsuperscript{14} found that a 3 min ultrasonic irrigation was effective to remove dentine debris. In the present study, 1 min ultrasonic irrigation seemed to be effective in cleaning isthmuses.

Tauber et al.\textsuperscript{17} and Goldman et al.\textsuperscript{18} reported that low power ultrasonication was not effective when used for the irrigation of the canals. Cameron\textsuperscript{19}, however, showed that the use of medium power was effective in cleaning root canals. In this study, the highest power setting was used for ultrasonic irrigation according to the manufacturer’s recommendation.
Two types of ultrasonic irrigation are described in the literature: one type where irrigation is combined with simultaneous ultrasonic instrumentation (UI), and the other without simultaneous instrumentation, called passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI).\textsuperscript{20} Weller et al.\textsuperscript{9} showed that UI is less effective in removing simulated pulp tissue from the root canal system, or the smear layer from the root canal wall, than PUI. Ahmad et al.\textsuperscript{8} explained that this could be the result of a reduction of acoustic streaming and cavitation. In the present study, the EMS Endo file was used to perform passive ultrasonic irrigation and found to be effective in cleaning the isthmuses of the root canal.

Cuningham et al.\textsuperscript{21} have demonstrated that ultrasonics can also improve the disinfection of root canals. The studies showed that passive ultrasonic irrigation is significantly better than syringe irrigation in the reduction of bacteria in the root canals.
Walmsley$^{22}$ reported that this could be because of the disruption of organic tissues entering the streaming field that was generated. Ahmad$^{23}$ explained that ultrasonically activated files generate a mechanism that damages biological cells. Lee et al. $^{1}$ found that a lower number of colonies survived when ultrasonic activation was used. Carver et al.$^{24}$ also found that ultrasonic irrigation following hand/rotary instrumentation \textit{in vivo} decreased significantly the number of bacteria to a greater extent than hand/rotary instrumentation alone. Despite these findings, it generally accepted that no technique is able to ensure complete canal disinfection.

Previous studies have shown that some endodontic sealers are soluble$^{25}$ and may shrink slightly.$^{26}$ Sealer dissolution may trigger an increase in leakage along the root filling over time. So, generally it is preferred to minimize the amount of sealer and
maximize the volume of gutta-percha. Eguchi et al.\textsuperscript{27} reported that lateral condensation results in excessive amounts of sealer and apical voids. Peters\textsuperscript{25} also found voids, spreader tracts, incomplete fusion of the gutta-percha cones, and lack of surface adaptation in lateral condensation technique. In our previous study\textsuperscript{28}, the gutta-percha/sealer content of different warmed condensation techniques were compared with lateral condensation and all warmed condensation techniques (included Microseal) were found superior to lateral condensation technique. In the present study, again Microseal technique was found to be superior to lateral condensation technique with regard to gutta-percha-sealer content.

In literature, studies have been performed concerning the microleakage effect of different obturation techniques rather than that of the gutta-percha content. Hwang et al.\textsuperscript{29} investigated
the microleakage effect of Microseal, warm vertical condensation and lateral condensation techniques on obturation of isthmuses in multi-rooted teeth, and found that the Microseal technique was superior to other techniques. Davalou et al.\textsuperscript{30} reported no significant difference in apical leakage between the System B and Microseal techniques. Recently, Mazotti et al.\textsuperscript{31} compared Microseal to hybrid ENAC ultrasonic and lateral condensation technique and found the best results in Microseal obturation. When the studies were compared to literature, contradictory results could be found between leakage studies even when the same materials have been studied. The lack of standardization of the experimental techniques leads to conflicting results. Also, the clinical significance of leakage tests in vitro is questionable, but incompletely filled canal irregularities such as isthmuses with the assumption that this meant apical leakage was occurring.
Also, reducing the ratio of sealer to gutta-percha may improve the long term seal provided by the root canal filling.

Although these are in vitro results, they are of significance because these factors cannot easily be quantitatively determined in vivo. Nevertheless, further clinical studies are necessary to confirm these results and evaluate their relevance to treatment outcome.
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