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Abstract

The objective of the study is to investigate the spatial patterns of regional unemployment rates for the periods 2004, 2011, 2012 and 2013 at the province level in Turkey using local and global spatial autocorrelation statistics, and also by mapping analysis. To observe spatial patterns of the unemployment rate, we firstly utilize mapping analysis. After this, spatial statistics tools are employed. It was found that there is a significant neighboring effect among unemployment rates at the provincial level in Turkey over time. This means that neighboring provinces in Turkey have similar values in terms of unemployment rates, suggesting some spatial dependency at provincial level. In addition, as years pass, this similarity can be clearly seen. In particular, the spatial spread of unemployment rates over time indicates the need for urgent action on the unemployment problem in the east of Turkey.
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Introduction

Unemployment is a major problem in Turkey as well as in almost all other countries of the World. Unemployment is defined as the situation of being without a job. A decrease in the growth of economies is a major cause of rising unemployment. (Chowdhury and Hossain, 2014). According to economic theory, although the unemployment rate is regarded as an important indicator of labor market performance, there are many other indicators affecting unemployment. Some are listed as the value of imports and exports, the dollar cost of imports and exports, the exchange rate of imports and exports, the exchange rate, population growth, gross national product (GNP) growth at current prices, GNP growth at fixed prices, public investments, private investments and GNP deflator (Goktas and Isci, 2010). Studies regarding the unemployment rate for Turkey generally consider determining the relationship between unemployment and other indicators or variables. For example, Bildirici et al., (2012) investigate unemployment generating effects. Kabaklarlı et al., (2011) analyze the economic determinants of the unemployment problem in Turkey.


Turkey is divided into seven main geographical regions; Marmara, Aegean, Mediterranean, Black Sea, Central Anatolia, Eastern Anatolia and South Eastern Anatolia. In Turkey, there are 81 provinces which exhibit substantial differences in terms of economic and social variables. The main differences between west (Marmara and Aegean) and east (Eastern Anatolia and South Eastern Anatolia) are clearly seen. These differences are known as the basic characteristic of the geography of Turkey. In this study, we investigate unemployment rates in Turkey in order to explain differences between geographical areas. A map of Turkey's regions and provinces is shown in Fig 1.

Figure 1: Turkey’s regions and provinces.

Method

In this study, we study unemployment rates, taken from the Turkish Statistical Institute, at province level in Turkey for the years 2004, 2011, 2012 and 2013 using spatial statistics tools, such as Local, and Global Moran's I statistics to explore the geographical distribution of unemployment for the 81 Turkish provinces. All analyses are conducted in the GeoDa 095i program. Mapping analyses are used as a first step to observe spatial spillover of the unemployment rate in Turkey.
Spatial Autocorrelation Statistics

To evaluate spatial dependence, we first have to determine what is meant by two observations being close together. In other words, we have to determine the distance measure between locations. Depending on the determined distance, a weight matrix, which defines relationships between locations, is formed. \( W \) is the weight matrix with zeros \((w_{ij}) i \neq j \) and \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) on the diagonal and with weights \((w_{ij}) i=j \) on the off-diagonal. \( w_{ij} \) is the main component of the spatial autocorrelation measure.

The well-known global spatial autocorrelation measure is the Moran's I given by:

\[
I = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij}}
\]

where \( n \) is the total number of spatial observations (i.e. districts), \( x_i \) is the value for the spatial location \( i \), \( x_j \) is the value for another spatial location \( j \), \( \bar{x} \) is the mean value of all spatial locations, \( w_{ij} \) is the spatial weight between locations \( i \) and \( j \). Moran's I can be positive or negative. While its positive value arises when similar values occur near one another, a negative value arises when dissimilar values occur near one another. If the Moran's I value is zero, no spatial autocorrelation is present.

Similarly, the local Moran's I statistics is a well-known local spatial autocorrelation measure. Based on local Moran's I statistics, local spatial autocorrelation analysis (LISA) is conducted (Anselin, 1995). The LISA map is drawn to identify potential local clusters and spatial outliers. While the LISA significance map shows locations with significant local Moran's I statistic, the LISA cluster map provides essentially the same information as the significance map, but shows significant locations in color, coded by the type of spatial autocorrelation. High-high (HH) and low-low (LL) regions show clustering of similar values of the considered variable, while high-low (HL) and low-high (LH) regions indicate spatial outliers (Anselin, 2005). Spatial outliers have different meanings in the context of spatial statistics. Significant spatial outliers indicate that high values are surrounded by low values while low values are surrounded by high values.

Results

Unemployment rates in Turkey from 2004 to 2014

The unemployment rates in Turkey for the period 2002-2014 are shown in Fig 2. It can be seen from the figure that the unemployment rates were around 10.5% in 2004 and reached a peak in 2009 in Turkey due to the existing global crisis. The unemployment rate decreased in 2012 due to the effect of positive trends in Turkey's economy. Consequently, the general unemployment rate in Turkey over 12 years was around 10 %, which is extremely high compared to most Europe countries. In addition, the youth unemployment rate in Turkey is nearly twice the level of the total unemployment rate (Kabaklarlı et al., 2011).
Taking into account the last three years for all provinces in Turkey, it can be observed that 16.1% of the maximum unemployment rate is seen in Adiyaman in 2011. Next to Adiyaman, Izmir, Batman and Gaziantep have high unemployment rate. Although Izmir is one of the largest industrial provinces in Turkey, its unemployment rate is at a very high level. One reason for this is that Izmir has experienced high immigration over the last 30 years (Isik, 2009). Kütahya, Kahramanmaraş, Manisa, Uşak and Çorum have the lowest rates, at around 4.7%. For 2012, Batman has the highest rate, at 25%. Moreover, Siirt and Mardin follow Batman. Kütahya and Usak have the lowest unemployment rates in 2011, 2012 and 2013. The rates for Batman, Mardin and Siirt are, respectively, the highest in Turkey in 2013, Turkey. When the last three years are taken into account, it can be said that the province experiencing the highest unemployment rate is Batman.

The distribution of the unemployment rate according to province is explained by maps drawn for the periods (2004, 2011, 2012 and 2013). The breakdown of the maps is calculated using the Natural Break Classification method.

The map for unemployment rates for 2004, 2011, 2012 and 2013 are respectively given in Fig 3. It can be seen from the figures that unemployment rates are low in the west, except for Izmir. Particularly high unemployment clusters are seen in the South East Anatolia. For 2011, Izmir, Kocaeli, Yalova, Gaziantep, Adıyaman and Batman provinces have high unemployment rates. In 2012 and 2013, high unemployment is seen in the provinces of the South Eastern Anatolia region.
Considering all the maps, it is can be seen that unemployment rates are rather high in large provinces, such as Istanbul, Izmir, Ankara, Adana and Kayseri. Furthermore, Sanliurfa, Diyarbakır, Mardin, Batman, Siirt and Sirnak in South Eastern Anatolia Region have high unemployment rates. It is well-known that Turkey has been suffering from terrorism in South Eastern Anatolia Region and thus the unemployment rate is negatively affected by terrorist actions in this region.

**Global spatial autocorrelation analyses**

In this study, a spatial weights matrix based on the Queen Contiguity measure is used. Global Moran I's scatter plots of unemployment rates are demonstrated in Fig 4., for 2004, 2011, 2012 and 2013. It can be observed that the X- axis shows the unemployment rate and the Y- axis shows the lag-unemployment rate defined by the weights matrix.

As seen from Fig 4. (a-d), the regression line of the scatter plot of the Moran's I as a slope is reasonably accurate. For 2004, Moran's I for the unemployment rate is 0.2638 which suggests a clustered spatial pattern in the distribution of the unemployment rate for Turkey since the p-value of Moran's I is less than 0.05 and thus, Moran's I is statistically meaningful. Moran's I increases in 2011, 2012 and 2013. They are all greater than 0.45, and the associated p-values are less than 0.05. This means that there is spatial autocorrelation between geographical areas in terms of the unemployment rates at a provincial level in Turkey.
Fig 4. Moran’s I scatter plots for unemployment rates. Standardized unemployment rates are on the X-axis and standardized average of neighbors’ unemployment rates are given on the Y-axis.

Local spatial autocorrelation analyses

In order to further understand the spatial distribution of unemployment rates, local autocorrelation analyses (LISA) are conducted (Celebioglu, 2010). The results of the LISA cluster maps are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Significant LISA results ($\alpha=0.05$) for unemployment rate in Turkey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-high</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-low</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-high</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-low</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For this purpose, the LISA maps are drawn. It can be seen that the LISA cluster maps, shown in Fig 5, confirm the significant presence of local spatial autocorrelation according to unemployment rates at the provincial level in Turkey.
LL clusters (three provinces) are identified in the Moran cluster map for unemployment rates and HH clusters are observed in eight provinces in the South East Region in 2004. Six provinces are outliers. 9 and 4 provinces are in the HH and LL groups, respectively. The number of the LL and HH clusters increase by 2013. 11 provinces in the east part of Turkey are identified in the HH clusters for 2011. Similar to 2011, there are three LL clusters in 2012 (see Fig 5); one in the Central Region, one in the Black Sea Region and one in the Central West Aegean sub-region of Turkey. One HH cluster is seen the South East Region.

As can be seen from Fig 5, for all years, most clusters are observed in the South East Anatolia Region. Over the years, the number of provinces in LL clusters have increased in the South East Anatolia Region. This means that the degree of neighboring effect of unemployment rates at the provincial level in Turkey has increased. For these reasons, public and private sector investment should be attracted to the east part of Turkey in order to decrease unemployment.

**Conclusions and Discussion**

High unemployment rates are a concern for all countries in the world, as well as Turkey. In this study, regional unemployment rates at province level in Turkey from 2004 to 2013 have been researched by means of spatial autocorrelation statistics as well as mapping analyses.

When examining the spatial distribution of unemployment in Turkey, the following results may be concluded:

- The development of industry based on agriculture in Turkey is insufficient compared to world markets. (Bozdaglioglu, 2008). The agriculture sector in Turkey has rapidly shifted to the service sector (Güney, 2010, pp.249). Mechanization has reduced the need for labor in agriculture (Yılmaz, 2005). Thus, provinces with high agricultural potential are seen to experience high unemployment rates.

- In the Turkey, rural to urban migration has increased markedly over the last 50 years. This migration can be seen in developed provinces for the service and industry sectors (Güney, 2010). This migration towards the industrial regions, has increased the unemployment problem in Turkey (Bahar and Bingol, 2010: 55). In order to cope with the unemployment problem in Turkey, policies for rural and undeveloped regions should be drawn up. Sectors with a high–employment potential in the provinces, such as Bursa, Corum, Denizli, Diyarbakir, Gaziantep, Urfa, being regional centers of attraction for investment, should be supported.

---

by the government (Ay, 2012; Yavan, 2012).

- Taking into account Global Moran’s I statistics, it can be observed that there is spatial autocorrelation between geographical areas in terms of unemployment rates at a provincial level in Turkey, and also that spatial autocorrelation has increased over time.

- Macro-economic problems, such as unemployment, have negatively affected social issues, such as the crime rate (Comertler, 2007:15). For this reason, unemployment should not be considered as solely an economic problem, and should be considered as a factor that can lead to social problems. This study shows that spatial clustering at a provincial-level is observable in Turkey and that terrorist actions in the South Eastern Anatolia Region have an influence on unemployment.
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