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Abstract

Sustainable and responsible investment (SRI) could be defined as the type of investment which aims to achieve financial returns while performing in terms of extra-financial aspects, such as social, environmental, governance and ethical objectives. In recent years, interest in SRI funds has been growing. It leads to a profusion of labels and funds claiming to be responsible and sustainable. As a result, new roles and specific SRI-service providers have emerged, such as labeling and rating agencies or SRI-auditors among others. Nevertheless, these emergent SRI-services remain unregulated, partially due to the novelty and constant evolution of this domain. The SRI funds’ value network could be considered as a tailored investment funds value network. This paper aims to contribute to achieving better understanding of the specificity of SRI fund industry and involved stakeholders. It contributes to the formalization of the roles within the SRI-specific value chain with goal-and-value-modeling languages.
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Introduction

Sustainable and responsible investment (SRI) could be defined as the “type of investment which aims to achieve financial returns while performing in terms of extra-financial aspects, such as social, environmental, governance and ethical objectives”, as proposed in Vermeulen, C., Mention, A.L. (2011a). In recent years, the interest in SRI has been growing and new SRI-specific actors and roles have emerged. They provide services of marketing, labeling, rating, audit, etc., and thus contribute to the value chain specific to this type of investment. These new SRI-specific activities remain unregulated, which is partially due to the novelty and constant evolution of this domain. Nonetheless, with the profusion of labels and funds claiming to be responsible and sustainable, the potential investor may find it difficult to discern which SRI aspects are covered by each label, and consequently be confused with the existing investment offers. This situation calls for establishing the regulative framework enabling better control of SRI-related activities. It should in the first place enable a clear distinction of roles and responsibilities of different SRI stakeholders.

This paper aims to contribute to achieving better understanding of the specificity of SRI fund industry and involved stakeholders. The roles within the SRI-specific value chain are formalized with goal- and-value-modelling languages, and within this frame the researchers propose to analyse the dependencies and existing/possible value exchanges between the actors. The presented study is part of a broader research project which aims to understand what is really underlying the concept of SRI funds.
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In this paper, the modelling techniques employed are first briefly introduced in section 2, while the proposed models are further discussed in section 3. The prospects of this on-going work are discussed in the conclusion.

**Methodology**

The approach the researchers rely on in this study consists of two stages: In the first stage, the models are developed relying on the academic and professional literature. The second stage, which is still to be executed, will comprise the validation of the model through the interviews with a representative sample of stakeholders identified at the European level.

As introduced earlier, two modelling techniques are combined to develop the models presented in this paper: goal modelling and value modelling.

**Goal Modeling**

Goal modeling aims to determine what various actors want and how (and whether) those wants will be achieved. We used the i* language, introduced in Yu, E. (2006) and Gordijn, J. & al. (2006), which stands for distributed intentionality, and builds on the premise that actors don't merely interact with each other through actions or information flow but relate to each other at an intentional level.

A role conveys the notion of an abstract actor, meaning that one or more concrete physical actors can assume the role. Actors in i* are strategic in that they seek relationships that will best suit their strategic interests. Each actor has its own strategic goals to pursue. Such goals are achieved through a network of intentional dependencies; that is, the actors depend on each other to achieve goals, perform tasks and furnish resources:

- **Goal:** A condition or state of affairs to be achieved. An actor can choose freely among different ways to achieve a goal.

- **Task:** A course of action to be carried out. It specifies a particular way of doing something, typically to achieve some goal.

- **Resource:** A physical or informational entity needed to achieve some goal or to perform some task.

- **Soft Goal:** A goal without a clear-cut criterion for achievement, thus requiring further refinement and judgment. You might typically use this to represent quality goals.

Typical relationship types used to relate these elements are:

- **Means-ends:** means-ends link shows a particular way (typically a task) to achieve a goal.

- **Decomposition:** decomposition link Show how an intentional element (typically a task) is decomposed into sub-elements, which can include goals, tasks, resources and soft goals.

- **Contribution:** contribution link shows a contribution toward satisfying a soft goal, typically from a task or another soft goal.

![Figure 1: i* Constructs](image)
The goal structures enable us to the space of alternatives available to each actor. The identified dependencies between actors offer opportunities but can also create vulnerabilities.

Using i* two types of models can be created:

- Strategic Dependency (SD) model, where each link between two actors indicates that one actor depends on the other for something in order that the former may attain some goal. The SD model is used to express the network of intentional, strategic relationships among actors.

- Strategic Rationale (SR) model is a graph that provides a representational structure for expressing the rationales behind dependencies. The actors with the SD model show their specific intentions.

**Value Modelling**

Value modeling allows representing a network of actors creating, distributing and consuming things of economic value. The “Business Model Drawing Tool”, edited by Board of Innovation (2012), is used as a simplification of the constructs of e3value language.

The main concepts used in value modeling are:

- **Actor**: an actor is perceived by its environment as an independent economic (and often also legal) entity. An actor makes a profit or increases its utility. In a sound, sustainable, e-business model each actor should be capable of making a profit.

- **Value Object**: actors exchange value objects. A value object is a service, good, money, or experience which is of economic value to at least one actor.

- **Value Exchange**: a value exchange represents one or more potential, direct or indirect, trades of value objects.

![Figure 2: Value Modeling Constructs](image)

**SRI Value Network**

Sustainable and responsible investment can be considered as a specific case of a classical investment value chain. To be able to understand the strategic rationale of SRI actors, we analyse the intentions of key actors within the classical investment process.

**Classical Investment chain – Actors’ Rationale**

Figure 3 shows a simplified SR Model describing rationales and dependencies between actors involved in investment activity: (i) investor, (ii) investment vehicle, (iii) investee and (iv) regulator.
Generally speaking, investors, whether individual or institutional, put their money into some investment vehicle with goals of getting return from the invested capital. Investors seek to minimize the risks and maximize the returns. Subscribing to an investment vehicle is one of the means to minimize transaction costs. The investor investment choice is partially based on the information provided in the prospects of the fund, which has to be validated by the regulation authority.

For the purpose of simplicity, the researchers group together the activities of the fund promoter, distributor and asset manager (investment manager) into a generic role investment vehicle. This is to underline that main objectives of this role consist in attracting investments and generating a return on invested capital. The investment vehicle has to comply with appropriate regulation, issued by the regulator, the official body in charge of the supervision of the financial market.

Investee is the business entity in which an investment is made. The investee would need to attract investments, and would for this purpose perform financial reporting required by the regulator.
Specificities of Socially Responsible Investment

To study SRI, the researchers focused on identifying the specific concerns adding to them more “classical” roles in the SRI context. Moreover, the researchers included in their analysis several new actors/roles brought by this specific investment sector. Figure 4 presents a preliminary Strategic Rationale Model for SRI involving: (i) Sustainable and responsible investors (SR Investor); (ii) Sustainable and responsible investment vehicle (SR Investment vehicle); (iii) Sustainable and responsible investee (SR Investee), respectively as specific cases (specialisations) of the roles are (i) Investor, (ii) Investment vehicle and (iii) Investee.

A SR Investor would seek investment opportunities integrating extra-financial aspects, along with reaching satisfactory financial performance. SR Investment vehicle, on the other hand, targets this investor profile by adopting an SRI strategy integrating environmental, social & governance concerns into its investment process. In addition, an SR Investment vehicle would perform activities promoting the SRI orientation of the vehicle.

Obtaining an SRI label, from the corresponding agencies (SRI labelling agency), is one of the means for the investment vehicle to be recognized as an SRI vehicle.

Investees willing to attract the SRI funding could communicate on its corporate social responsibility, thus proving the investee’s interest in: e.g. social, environmental and other aspects relative to SRI. The corresponding investee’s reports are reviewed by extra-financial rating agencies, providing appreciation of investee extra-financial performance. The asset selection process of the SR Investment vehicle usually relies on these evaluations.

Figure 4: SRI Strategic Rationale Model
**SRI Value Network Proposition**

The presented value exchange model (Figure 5) is derived relying on the identified rationales and dependencies between the stakeholders discussed in the previously introduced SR models.

This model should serve as the basis for analysing the nature of direct or indirect exchanges taking place between the actors. In particular, even this preliminary model allows raising potential conflicts of interest and deviations, such as:

- SR Investment vehicle are subject to “administrative” fees for obtaining the SRI labels. This may pose concerns regarding the independency of the labelling agencies.
- Although rating agencies are not directly financed by the investee, these agencies have a great impact on the investee’s attractiveness on the financial market, and thus the investee may be led to influence in one or the other way the rating evaluation process.
- The investor has no other option than to trust the claims on SRI character of the assets held by SR investment vehicle, since the rules are not clearly established.

These and similar hypotheses resulting from the analysis and validation of the models will serve to propose a clear definition of the responsibilities among the value network and to make a contribution to the clarification of the SRI offer.

![Figure 5: Preliminary SRI Value Network](image)

**Conclusion and Perspectives**

This paper aims to identify the stakeholders participating to the SRI-specific value network and proposes to analyse their (potential) mutual exchanges. It is believed that the intended cycles of validating the models through questionnaires will help to clearly define roles and responsibilities of different SRI stakeholders.
The results of this on-going study are intended to serve the fund industry regulators, practitioners and researchers in SRI. The proposed models would serve as formalized tools to analyse the interactions between SRI market players; to detect possible conflicts of interest between the actors involved; to analyse overlaps or gaps with compliance matters; and other issues susceptible to have an impact on the value chain. Finally, the formalized SRI value network allows discussing its weaknesses that leave the space for deviations and misuses of SRI of various natures. In this way, the awareness of key actors can be raised in the value network with respect to the SRI impact and its limits.

The researchers believe that their work will as well contribute to identify the risks of SRI deviations that may occur (discuss and structure the critics of SRI concepts), and that it can consequently be used as an input when defining the adapted industry-specific regulative framework.

Finally, once the roles, expectations and possibilities for value exchange between the stakeholders are validated, it could help to explore the ways in which better social impact of sustainable and responsible investments can be guaranteed, i.e. through establishing alternative value networks or providing recommendations on portfolios composition.
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