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Abstract

E-business as a multifaceted application has vast capabilities to support today's business. Nevertheless, these
capabilities do not equally benefit all firms. Therefore, appropriate selection of e-business solutions would substantially enhance firm efficiency and effectiveness. Despite extensive research
in this domain, there are limited works that explore the extent to which SMEs successfully align diverse e-business capabilities to their strategic business functions. This is crucial
considering that SMEs have relatively limited resources and thus make them more selective in e-business-related investments. This study therefore explores the current state of e-
business fit (alignment) among Malaysian SMEs. The next attempt is to reveal any possible patterns that represent firms with respect to their e-business alignment
characteristics. A self-administered survey was conducted on 140 SMEs owner/manager in order to investigate present status of e-business alignment across various business
processes. The results generally indicate that firms perceive a relatively higher level of alignment in terms of information searching, sales, and internal-related functions.
relative to other functions. A cluster analysis further classifies firms into three (3) groups with somewhat distinct alignment patterns. Despite several limitations, this study has provided
insights on how e-business penetrates across firm operation and the extent to which it corresponds to the most salient functions of the business. This study, therefore, supports the
claim that SMEs have different priorities over e-business solutions in support of various business functions. These findings have also provided more insights such as why some
firms do not progress into a higher e-business ladder.
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Introduction

Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) anchor economic growth of most developing nations considering their
substantial contribution to gross domestic product (GDP) and employment opportunities. Consequently, the government through several agencies has been
considering various efforts to enhance firms efficiency and productivity. As such, deployment of Information Technology/Information Systems (IT/IS) becomes
one of the catalysts for such efforts.

Internet commercialization further spurs greater interest towards IT/IS usage among firms.
Internet features such as global connectivity and public networking system offer wide range of online-based applications (e-business) as a means to transform various aspects
of business. Specifically, these applications benefit firms in terms of making global presence, improving business process efficiency, and widening market share. Due to varying definitions,
this paper particularly refers to e-business as “a transformation of key business processes by using an Internet technology” (Meckel et al, 2004). Therefore, the words e-
business and Internet are used interchangeably throughout this paper.

E-business offers vast capabilities to support business ranging from
information searching, communication and transactional-related tasks (Wilson et al, 2008). Nonetheless, these capabilities do not equally benefit all firms (Roberts &
Toleman, 2007). Specifically, due to resources constraint, SMEs are getting more selective on e-business related investment. Thus, their e-business deployment could
have been restricted to certain aspects of firm operation. Most importantly, e-business turns to be worthwhile if its deployment corresponds highly to the most crucial
aspects of firm operation (Bharati & Chaudhury, 2006). This clearly indicates the importance of aligning multifaceted e-business capabilities across various firm operations.
Having e-business capabilities aligned with the most crucial business functions would then optimize its values to the firm (Raymond & Bergeron, 2008).
The issue of IT/IS alignment (fit) has received considerable attention among researchers (Chan & Reich, 2007). Nevertheless, there are limited attempts to explore IT/IS alignment
amongst SMEs (Silvius et al, 2009). Additionally, earlier works mainly concentrate on IT/IS alignment in general, (Cragg et al, 2002) or alignment of specific business function (Ismail &
King, 2007; Hooper et al, 2010). Works are still limited in investigating the alignment on specific IT/IS, such as Internet-based solutions (Raymond & Bergeron, 2008). Hence,
this study has two major objectives;

(i) to assess systematically the current state of e-business alignment among SMEs;
(ii) to distinguish firms into several meaningful categories based on their e-business alignment characteristics.
This paper contributes to the existing literature in several aspects. First, it investigates alignment issue from a specific type of IT/IS application. Internet as compared to other types
of IT/IS is unique, as it is an open standard system that enables global connectivity and it uses public network as a backbone infrastructure (Zhu & Kraemer, 2005).
Furthermore, Internet technology has both computing and communicating capabilities (Premkumar, 2003). Such unique capabilities promote efficiency for
inter-firm interaction, transactions processing and market expansion initiative. Secondly, instead of investigating IT/IS alignment from strategic perspective (Cragg et al,
2002; Chan et al, 2006), this study evaluates e-business alignment at business process level. As Melville and Ramirez (2008) emphasize, different business processes have
relatively inconsistent degree of complexity, therefore require different kinds of Internet support. Additionally, examining e-business alignment at process level would
facilitate firms to locate highly supported or least supported business operation (Cragg et al, 2007).
In order to achieve these objectives, the following section proceeds by exploring development of IT/IS and e-business from SMEs perspective and analyzing earlier works on
IT/IS alignment. Based upon the review, the research model for this paper is then presented at the end of the section.
Conceptual Framework

*IT, E-business, and SMEs*

SMEs are comparatively different from their larger counterparts in several
aspects. They have the least complicated structure, which make them easily adaptable to environmental changes (Raymond et al, 2005). In turn, the decision making process becomes
more centralized (Bharati & Chaudhury, 2006). With respect to risk, SMEs are encountering greater business risk than larger firms apart from facing higher rate of business
failure (DeLone, 1988). Due to limited access to information, SMEs also have to deal with greater business uncertainty in managing daily operation
of the firms (Aragon-Correa & Cordon-Pozo, 2005).

Size has been identified as one of the possible factors that influence firms use of IT/IS. Generally, larger
firms have greater capabilities to embrace IT/IS as compared to SMEs (Bharati & Chaudhury, 2006). This is particularly due to several factors. First, SMEs usually have no clear
strategy to facilitate effective IT/IS usage (Cragg & Zinatelli, 1995). Secondly, smaller firms have limited financial resources and competent employees to initiate or to manage firms’
IT/IS applications (Thong, 2001). Lack of internal IT experts subsequently puts more pressure on firms to rely upon external IT experts to facilitate IT/IS related projects
(Premkumar, 2003). Thirdly, SMEs mainly embrace IT/IS to support operational functions without any strategic focus (Schubert & Leimstoll, 2007). Thus, they tend to
adopt lower-end applications that are inadequate to firms (Thong et al, 1996). Such practice obviously restricts firms from fully optimizing the
real value from IT/IS deployment.

Since Internet booming, more efforts have been initiated to investigate the Internet impacts on the
SMEs. This transformation deserves further investigation as the Internet technology has different impacts on SMEs than other types of IT/IS applications. Considering
these differing characteristics, a unique model is therefore needed to understand the roles of specific IT/IS innovation in SMEs (Levy & Powell, 2000). Based on the above
argument, it is essential to investigate the use of e-business application among SMEs.

Large portions of studies have tried to establish wide
range of drivers/barriers of e-business practices (Mohamad & Ismail, 2009; Parker & Castleman, 2007). There are also growing attempts to investigate e-business diffusion across
business functions (Bharati & Chaudhury, 2006; Alam et al, 2007) and the impacts of e-business on firm performance (Pflugheofoft et al, 2003; Raymond & Bergeron, 2008).
Internet-based applications have been gradually diffused into many aspects of firm value chains (Porter, 2001). These value chains, comprising of physical components and
information processing components, demand for different degree of Internet technology support (Bharati & Chaudhury, 2006). Several works have clearly indicated that the
Internet does have different roles in supporting various firm functions. For example, Magal and Kosalge (2006) report that marketing, procurement, in-bound and out-bound
functions are to receive relatively higher Internet support than other functions. Meanwhile, firms in production sector heavily consider e-business to be used for primary
functions while service sectors perceive greater e-business capabilities in support/secondary functions.
Despite vast e-business potentials, not all firms perceive e-business as a strategic solution to them (Bharadwaj & Soni, 2007). Thus, it is not reasonable to assume that all firms would
extensively deploy the Internet to support all aspects of their business. In such situation, firms may consider applications that provide substantial impact on their business operation
or applications that are aligned with firm’s objectives (Levy & Powell, 2003). Furthermore, SMEs would also have greater tendency to deploy e-business applications to
enhance their core business functions rather than other supporting activities (Bharati & Chaudhury, 2009). This clearly suggests the importance of aligning various e-business
capabilities to the most crucial aspects of the SMEs operation. Appropriate e-business alignment is getting more critical considering firms allocated
limited resources to invest in e-business.

Although many studies have investigated Internet diffusion across business processes, there are still
scarce studies on aligning e-business applications/solutions to the most crucial functions of a firm business processes. This study therefore bridges the gap
by assessing the current state of e-business alignment across differing complexity of business processes. The next section discusses the concept of fit
and its application in IT/IS domain.

**Concept of Fit and E-business Alignment**

Concept of fit as proposed by Burns and Stalker
(1961) anchors the main hypothesis of contingency theory. The theory surmises that (1) ‘there is no best way to organize; and (2) any way of organizing is not effective’
(Galbraith, 1973, p.2). Most importantly, the theory contends that the fit between business structure and contingency factors leads to better firm performance.
(Venkatraman, 1989). The concept of fit and contingency theory has initially received considerable attention in understanding
organizational behavior (Donaldson, 2001).

Nevertheless, due to the emerging role of IT/IS in business operation, there has been a growing concern
on how firms could maximize values from the IT/IS investment. Therefore, extending the concept of fit, Henderson and Venkatraman (1993) propose a Strategic
Alignment Model (SAM) as a framework to understand fit/alignment from IT/IS perspective. The model conceptualizes alignment as a multidimensional construct with four major
domains; namely; business strategy, IT strategy, organizational infrastructure and IT infrastructure. SAM indicates alignment to take place either at strategic
level or at operational level (Cragg et al, 2007). Strategic alignment involves synchronization between firm’s IT strategy and business strategy. Meanwhile, operational
level alignment primarily focuses on aligning IT infrastructure and processes with firm infrastructure and processes.
Chan et al (1997) are among the first to provide empirical evidences based on the SAM model and to confirm the moderating effect of strategic alignment to IS performance and firm
performance. Since then, other similar works follow suit (Bergeron et al, 2001; Chan et al, 2006). Nevertheless, to date, studies mainly concentrate on strategic level alignment.
(Chan et al, 2006; Sabherwal & Chan, 2001), while there are limited attempts to investigate operational level alignment (Cragg et al, 2007). This is a crucial considering the fact
that implementation of firms strategies requires an effective interaction of interrelated business activities (Tallon, 2007).
On another respect, most of the studies primarily investigate IT/IS alignment among large entities (Chan et al., 2006; Sabherwal & Chan, 2001). Meanwhile, works to understand
alignment in SMEs context are relatively scarce. Hussin et al (2002) are among the earlier researchers to investigate IT/IS alignment within the SMEs setting. They
reported that IT/IS alignment issue does matter and therefore deserves further investigation. Cragg et al. (2002) further ascertained the positive relationship
between IT/IS alignment and firm performance. From an accounting information systems perspective, Ismail and King (2007; 2005) also reported the lack of fit
between accounting information requirements and accounting information systems capabilities among Malaysian SMEs. More recently, Cragg et al (2007) have found that IT/IS
seems to provide inconsistent support across various business functions. Their work further indicates the need to investigate IT/IS alignment at business process level.
Research Model

Fig. 1 illustrates the proposed research model for the present study. To address the research gap,
this study first explores relative importance of various business processes to firms (business process importance) and the extent to which e-business is deployed to support the
business processes (e-business capabilities). Most importantly, this paper further explores the fit between ‘business process importance’ and ‘e-business capabilities’ (e-business
alignment). As such, e-business alignment is a derived construct resulting from an interaction of the two other constructs. As the research model further depicts, the next attempt is
to figure out several profiles with distinct characteristics of e-business alignment.

The research framework is based upon Strategic
Alignment Model advocated by Henderson and Venkatraman (1993). As indicated earlier, the model refers to operational (process) alignment as the fit between firm
infrastructure and processes with IT infrastructure and processes. Considering specific IT/IS domain, this paper therefore investigates alignment
between ‘business process importance’ and ‘e-business capabilities’. This conceptualization is consistent with Van de Ven and Drazin’s (1985) contention on applicability.
of fit/alignment concept beyond structural contingency perspective. They noted that the concept of fit applies as long as the theory proposes that performance is a function
of match, congruence, intersection or union of two or more variables.
Fig1. Research Model

Please See Fig 1 in Full PDF Version
Methodology

Survey Design and Sample

Considering the varying definitions of SMEs, this study defines SMEs
according to the National SMEs Development Council (2005) guideline. The guideline specifies small firm to be with full time employees (FTEs) of between 5 and 50
(manufacturing-based) or between 5 and 20 (service-based). Meanwhile, a medium firm category encompasses firms with FTEs between 50 and 150 (manufacturing-based) or
between 20 and 50 (service-based). However, authors exclude micro firms (less than five FTEs) considering their limited e-business capabilities and the unique nature of their
operation (Schubert & Leimstoll, 2007). In addition, samples of the present study comprise of Malaysian SMEs that are having a website, as it is somehow well-accepted.
indicator for firms practicing e-business (Brand & Huizingh, 2008).

Data collection involves distribution of a self-administered questionnaire
to 1,600 firms selected from two company directories i.e. SME Corporation and Malaysia External Trade Development Corporation (MATRADE). The targeted
respondent is the owner/manager of the firm who is expected to have sufficient knowledge about the firm operation and the nature of e-business practices. Besides, getting
responses from those with direct responsibility for a firm IT-related matters could be difficult as most SMEs hardly have a formal IT/IS unit (Bharati & Chaudhury, 2009).
After about three months, 155 firms responded to the survey but only 140 responses (9% response rate) are usable for analysis. Due to the
relatively low response rate, a non-response bias test has been carried out using time-trend extrapolation approach (Armstrong and Overton, 1977). The samples were
divided into two groups based on the median response date. The Mann-Whitney U-test does not indicate any substantial differences between the groups with respect to
several demographic factors, i.e. nature of operation, size of firms and present Internet usage. This suggests that the presence of non-response bias is relatively minimal.
Concepts and Measures

Business Processes

To start with, business processes that are potentially supported by
the Internet have been compiled from extensive literature review. The activities included are generic in nature to represent firms in various business sectors.
Consequently, this study does not consider functions that are specific to certain sectors such as production-related tasks. Based upon several works, 39 activities are shortlisted (Lefebvre et
al, 2005; Magal & Kosalge, 2006; Wilson et al, 2008). These activities are initially classified into three categories: internal operation, procurement and sales-related (Levy et
Twenty-five (25) e-business academic experts and SMEs representatives have evaluated these items to ensure their validity, clarity and appropriateness. Based
on the feedback received, a final instrument retained 36 items with slight modification on the wordings. These refined items then formed a basis for assessing the 'business
process importance' and 'e-business capabilities' that correspond to each business process.

Following Cragg et al (2007) approach, this study
operationalizes ‘business process importance’ to be perceived as the strategic importance of each of the business processes. Meanwhile, consistent with the study objective of
understanding e-business deployment across firm functions, authors operationalize ‘e-business capabilities’ as perceived level of Internet-technology support to the respective
business process. This approach relies heavily upon Chan et al (1997) work. Nevertheless, as Chan et al (1997) examined the alignment of IT capabilities with
Venkatraman’s (1989) business strategy construct, this study assesses the alignment of ‘e-business capabilities’ against 'business process importance'. From e-
business environment perspective, the approach is also consistent with Raymond and Bergeron (2008), whom operationalize ‘e-business capabilities’ as the extent of
support that Internet technologies currently provide to each of the business functions identified.
Consistent with earlier works (Chan et al, 1997; Hussin et al, 2002; Ismail and King, 2007), this study employs a bi-variate alignment approach in order to capture
information about ‘business process importance’ and ‘e-business capabilities’. Using this approach, a set of questions was designed to measure firm perception on relative strategic
importance of each of the 36 business processes. Meanwhile, authors posed another set of questions to assess the extent of Internet technology supports to the respective
business process. Thus, responses for two sets of questions were obtained with 72 questions in total (36 questions on 'business process importance' and the corresponding 36
questions on 'e-business capabilities').

With respect to measurement scale, a ‘business process importance’ construct is
measured using a five-point scale with ‘1’ indicating ‘not important at all’ and ‘5’ as ‘highly important’. Consistently, a five-point scale is also considered for measuring ‘e-business’.
capabilities' with ‘1’ representing ‘not supported at all’ and ‘5’ indicating ‘highly supported’. Ratings obtained for both constructs then form a
basis to determine e-business alignment.

**E-business Alignment (Fit)**

There have been constant debates on measuring fit as
it corresponds to different mathematical computation and analysis technique (Van de Ven & Drazin, 1985). Venkatraman (1989) suggested six (6) perspectives of fit:
moderation, mediation, matching, co-variation, profile deviation and gestalt. Nevertheless, moderation and matching perspectives have been widely considered in
previous works (Chan et al, 1997; Premkumar et al, 2005). Some other studies further noted that moderation approach seems to be more meaningful especially in
associating alignment to firm performance (Cragg et al, 2002; Ismail & King, 2005). The moderation approach measures fit as an interaction effect between two variables and
subsequently assesses its effect to firm performance. At the same time, moderation approach provides greater merit (by producing higher score) when high alignment
occurs at the most crucial functions rather than high alignment which takes place at least crucial functions (Hooper et al, 2010).
Chan et al (1997) however caution of ‘anti-synergy’ effect resulting from moderation approach. ‘Anti-synergy’ refers to the situation in which firms with very different scores
of 'business process importance' and 'e-business capabilities' (indicating a different level of alignment) are assigned with the same e-business fit scores. In response, Hooper
et al (2010) refines the measurement approach by retaining the advantages of both matching and moderation approach while minimizing the ‘anti-synergy’ problem.
Consequently, this study employs the refined measurement approach to determine e-business fit score using the following formula, which assumes
values ranging from 0 (very low fit) to 20 (very high fit).

\[ E \text{-business fit score} = (4 - \left| x - y \right|) \times \left( \frac{x + y}{2} \right) \]

Where \( x \) refers to the rating of ‘business process
importance’ and y refers to rating of ‘e-business capabilities' of a particular process.
Findings

Table 1 reports distribution of samples on several demographic factors. As the table indicates, manufacturing-
based firms dominate around 70 percent of the samples. Meanwhile, there is relatively equal representation between small and medium-sized firms. As for market
orientation, about two-thirds of the responding firms are involved in export activities, while the remaining firms merely serve domestic market. Lastly, the analysis further
shows that the responding firms have diverse e-business experience. About 10 percent merely use e-mail, while about 40 percent are presently at web presence stage and
prospecting stage. Meanwhile, less than 15 percent the firms have reached higher e-business ladders (integration and transformation).
With respect to respondent position, more than 90 percent of the respondents are serving managerial positions. Specifically, almost 50 percent of the respondents are presently
holding top management positions in the firms (owner/CEO). With respect to working experience, about 58 percent of the respondents have been working with the firm for
more than five years. These facts lay greater weight on respondents’ credibility. Finally, in terms of gender, male are more dominant than female respondents. To check for possible
response bias, a Mann-Whitney U-test was executed to assess responses consistency across selected firms demographic information and respondents' profiles.
The results clearly indicate minimal differences of responses on major research variables.
E-business Capabilities and Assessment of Alignment

Preliminary analysis reveals that Internet support is somewhat
extensive for information searching, communication and marketing-related tasks that is consistent with other similar studies in Malaysia (Alam et al, 2007; Hussin et al, 2008; Tan et
al, 2011). This is because these are among the functions that are easily transformed by the Internet (Koh & Nam, 2005). Meanwhile, transactional-related activities, such as
payment, order processing and documents exchanges are not widely available in most firms. This is due to such capabilities require more sophisticated and expensive IT infrastructure
(Tagliavini et al, 2001). Thus, not many firms can afford integrating the Internet to support these processes. The result also suggests that Malaysian firms are now reaching a
prospecting stage. At the prospecting stage, despite the fact that internet usage relatively goes beyond e-mail usage or basic web presence, the uses of applications that transform
transactional-related activities are still limited (Cheong et al, 2009).

E-business fit score represents the extent of e-business alignment of a
particular business process. Overall results show that the levels of e-business alignment are not consistent across various business functions. Activities related to
information searching, advertising and customer service are relatively having better alignment than other activities. On the other hand, results indicate lower alignment for
activities such as payment-related activities, employees training and contract negotiation (Appendix 1).
Table 1: Demographic Information of Responding Firms

Please See Table1 in Full PDF Version
However, assessment of alignment on individual business process provides limited understanding of the situation taking in consideration that some business activities are
potentially related. Hence, computation of aggregate e-business fit score could be useful to facilitate further analysis. For this purpose, a Principal component analysis (PCA) was first
employed to reveal higher order dimensions that might represent both 'business process importance' and 'e-business capabilities'. The PCA is useful to unleash
meaningful structure from the sample data (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2007). Initial checks on both constructs provide strong support on factorability of the data.
This is based on Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) values for both ‘business process importance’ and ‘e-business capabilities' of 0.915 and 0.874 respectively. Similarly, the Bartlett tests
of sphericity values are also significant for both constructs.

A Varimax rotation procedure employed however did not produce
any meaningful structure for both constructs with several cross-loading items. Consistent with Hair et al (2010), this study therefore considers an oblique rotation procedure to
refine the PCA results for easier interpretation. The PCA results suggest a refined framework with 32 items in five dimensions. The components can be appropriately labelled as
‘sales and after sales’, ‘procurement-related’, ‘accounting and financial-related’, ‘information searching’ and ‘in-house operation’ (finalized items retained for each process)
dimension is presented in Appendix 2).

E-business fit score for each process dimension is determined by obtaining the average fit scores of all
items representing the respective process dimension. Table 2 presents mean product of ‘business process importance’, ‘e-business capabilities’ and ‘e-business
fit score’ across process dimensions. Overall, the results indicate the presence of e-business alignment/misalignment within the SMEs context. Specifically, firms perceive
the Internet to be closely aligned with information searching, sales-related functions and in-house operation. Meanwhile, lower alignments are noticeable for financial-
related and procurement functions. Consistent with earlier works (Cragg et al, 2007; Tallon, 2007), these results suggest diversity of e-business alignment across business functions.
As indicated further, firms have greater tendencies to integrate e-business applications to support most salient functions of their firms while substantially minimizing e-
business investment in least salient functions. The next section proceeds to classify the sample firms based on their e-business fit characteristics.
Table 2: Mean Scores by Business Process Dimensions

Please See Table 2 in Full PDF Version
E-business Fit Profiles

Considering inconsistencies of e-business alignment patterns across business functions, it is interesting to further investigate for the
existence of any possible patterns with respect to e-business fit characteristics across firms. Hence, this study has considered cluster analysis due to its capability to classify objects
such as respondents, products or other entities based on given characteristics (Hair et al, 2010). The clustering procedure is executed using hierarchical
clustering approach (Ward method) with fit scores of all process dimensions as the clustering variables. Meanwhile, an agglomeration coefficient change becomes a basis to
determine the most optimal cluster solution. Clustering result (Table 3) indicates a two-cluster solution could be appropriate to represent the samples based on the highest change in
coefficient value (48.6%). However, in most cases the two-cluster solution always produces the highest coefficient change and thus might not adequately represent profiles of the
samples unless being supported by strong theoretical justification (Hair et al., 2010). Consequently, the next solution with highest coefficient change could be
selected, i.e. three-cluster solution (22.9%). In other words, the cluster analysis suggests three distinct groups of firms with different e-business fit characteristics.
Table 3: Partial Extract of Cluster Analysis Output

Please See Table 3 in Full PDF Version
Table 4 reports comparative fit scores among clusters. As presented in the table, slightly lower than 50 percent of the samples (62 firms), fit into the second
cluster while the first and the third clusters have 35 and 43 memberships respectively. For validation purpose, one-way ANOVA indicates significant mean differences across three
clusters with respect to all clustering variables. The post-hoc tests (not disclosed) also indicate significant differences between clusters. Both tests suggest that all three
clusters have relatively heterogeneous e-business fit characteristics. Thus, the clustering result is rather reliable and valid.
Table 4: Comparative Characteristics of E-business Fit by Clusters

Please See Table 4 in Full PDF Version
Fig. 2 below further illustrates the comparison using graphical representation. The diagram denotes two important aspects of alignment characteristics.
across clusters. First, a horizontal comparison indicates that e-business fit scores are relatively higher for information searching, in-house operation and sales-related functions.
Obviously, the pattern seems to be highly consistent across clusters. Secondly, a vertical comparison shows that firms in the first cluster have comparatively higher
fit scores in all business processes compared to other clusters. Meanwhile, firms in the third cluster report consistently low level of alignment for all aspects of operation. These
observations suggest that the most obvious differing characteristic amongst clusters is their overall e-business fit score (vertical comparison) instead of perceived alignment at
different business process dimensions (horizontal comparison). The cluster classification results are consistent with earlier studies of IT/IS alignment.
(Ismail & King, 2007; Cragg et al, 2002).
Fig 2. Comparative E-business Fit Characteristics across Clusters (Mean Score)

Please See Fig 2 in Full PDF Version
Fig. 3 further shows comparison of e-business fit characteristics based on mean-centered value (the differences between a cluster mean score and overall mean score). As the
figure indicates, the first cluster has greater than average fit scores for all aspects of processes. In contrast, fit scores for firms classified into the third cluster are substantially
lower than average especially for sales and in-house operation. Finally, fit scores for the second cluster are slightly above overall mean scores except
for finance and information searching functions.
Fig 3. Comparative E-business Fit Characteristics across Clusters (Mean-Centered Value)

Please see Fig 3 in Full PDF Version
The pattern revealed in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 then would be a basis for naming the clusters. Based on the above analysis, it is appropriate to propose that the first cluster represents
firms with relatively higher e-business fit score in all business functions. Therefore, the cluster could be labelled as ‘highly fit’. Meanwhile, as the second cluster comprises firms
with comparatively moderate fit score in almost all aspects, it is thus acceptable to label this group as ‘moderately fit’. Finally, the third cluster comprises firms with
relatively lowest fit score for all functions and therefore suits the label of ‘low fit’.

With respect to e-business alignment characteristics,
firms in the ‘highly fit’ cluster perceive greater importance of e-business applications and those applications have been deployed to support the most crucial functions of
the firms. In other words, Internet capabilities of these firms are closely aligned to the most essential aspects of the firm functions. In contrast, although firms classified
under ‘low fit’ category do find several functions are crucial to their business, they perceive limited e-business potentials to enhance efficiency of those business functions. Thus, it
is presumed that firms hardly find strategic e-business values to facilitate their business operation. Finally, about two-thirds of the responding firms classified under
‘moderately fit’ category are in the transformation stage as they keep exploring Internet potentials to support their business.
Discussion and Conclusions

E-business offers wide range of supports to extend firm's productivities. Nevertheless, SMEs are
relatively more prudent concerning e-business-related investment due to resources constraint. Hence, they would have to focus their investment particularly to enhance the
most crucial functions of the firms in order to manage e-business effectively. This paper, therefore explores current state of e-business alignment across various
business functions. Preliminary analysis of ‘business process importance’ shows that firms perceive certain business functions as more important than other
functions. In terms of e-business capabilities, the degree of e-business support is relatively lower even for most crucial functions of the firms. This pattern suggests that there
are still wide opportunities for firms to improve their e-business capabilities.

Considering both aspects of ‘business process importance’ and ‘e-business
capabilities’, the next step was to investigate as to what extent that the e-business capabilities employed correspond to the most strategic functions of the firms. The results
show that higher alignment is more noticeable on sales, information searching and in-house functions. Meanwhile, firms perceive relatively lower level of alignment with respect to
procurement and accounting/financial functions.

Cluster analysis further proposes three profiles with distinct e-business fit
characteristics. The clusters were named as ‘highly fit’, ‘moderately fit’ (transforming) and ‘low fit’ (limited potential). The results also reveal that majority of the firms reside
in the second cluster while relatively lower proportion of the firms that successfully reach higher level of alignment. This could be another justification for slow
progress of e-business deployment amongst SMEs. The result suggests that e-business does not equally fit all SMEs in the same manner. Although large proportion of the SMEs has
initiated e-business use, many do not progress further to more advanced stages. This is disappointing since remaining at an early stage of e-business ladder
restricts firms from optimizing real values of e-business (Magal et al, 2009).

These findings benefit e-business and SMEs
researchers by providing another perspective of investigating e-business phenomenon. The study views the problem from a fit perspective and thus provides a different insight
with a view to have better understanding of e-business practices amongst SMEs. The outcome would also benefit SMEs-related agencies to customize their strategies in promoting e-
business to the SMEs. A more focused approach, i.e. by identifying and providing necessary support for most potential firms to progress in e-business, is more useful
than employing a blanket approach to all SMEs at large.

Readers should take into account several limitations in generalizing these
findings. First, the sample size is relatively small, though this is a commonplace for studies involving owner/manager of SMEs (Dennis, 2003). Having obtained larger
responses would enhance representation of the SMEs population at large. Secondly, the survey has been conducted among SMEs in developing country. Further validation
can be useful by comparing the results with other developing or developed nations. Future works could further ascertain any linkage between different
characteristics of fit and performance impact. In case there is a positive impact of e-business fit on performance, it could be a good sign for firms to put continuous effort in
aligning their e-business capabilities. In addition, future works could also investigate discriminating factors among firms with different fit profiles. This is obviously crucial to provide
reasons for why some firms have better e-business alignment than other firms.
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