

Micro-credentials, Digital Badges, and Open Badges: Paving the Way for a Sustainable Future in Education*

Agnieszka WÓJCIK-CZERNIAWSKA¹, Zbigniew GRZYMAŁA¹ and
Elżbieta POHULAK-ŻOŁĘDOWSKA²

¹Warsaw School of Economics, Warsaw, Poland

²Wrocław University of Economics and Business, Wrocław, Poland

Correspondence should be addressed to: Agnieszka WÓJCIK-CZERNIAWSKA, awojci5@sggw.edu.pl

* Presented at the 46th IBIMA International Conference, 26-27 November 2025, Ronda, Spain

Abstract

Micro-credentials, digital badges, and Open Badges as new trends in education play an important role in the creation of the future of learning as a sustainable process that offers multiple ways of skills recognition. Such credentials have appeared over the last decade and represent knowledge, skills, and social competencies, which is an option to traditional full qualifications. However, the lack of standardization of terms across the globe, and especially in Poland has led to the emergence of different definitions and uses. Whereas micro-credentials are closely associated with traditional and particularly higher education, digital and Open Badges are more common in non-formal learning and lifelong learning settings. The adoption of these credentials has profound implications for the education system. On the positive side, they support the development of modularity and personalization of curricula so that learners can cheaply acquire online courses and demonstrate particular competencies. This has created an environment of learning throughout the lifespan as well as equality in education. However, challenges persist. Perceiving skills as separate entities may harm the importance of the big picture and weaken the reputation of standard university diplomas. Furthermore, this trend is consistent with market-oriented logic, thus reopening the debate on the roles of universities in today's digital society. In this paper, the effects of micro-credentials are discussed and the ways they can help close the gap between education and employment are outlined, as well as possible disadvantages. This work serves to establish the need for proper coordination between innovation and traditional traditionalism in education while embracing micro-credentials as a critical component of future sustainable and inclusive education systems.

Keywords: micro credentials, digital badges, sustainable development, digitalization, changes

Introduction

Micro-credentials have been in demand lately. It is often argued that they should increase access to higher education and reduce after-graduation employment deficits. At present, there are many definitions and descriptions of micro-credentials available. In this paper, the definition proposed by the European Commission in 2021 will be adopted:

'Micro-credential means the assertion of the learning that has been gained by the learner from a small volume of learning. The competence of these learning outcomes has been evaluated against specific and easy-to-understand criteria.'

(European Commission, 2021)

Cite this Article as: Agnieszka WÓJCIK-CZERNIAWSKA, Zbigniew GRZYMAŁA and Elżbieta POHULAK-ŻOŁĘDOWSKA Vol. 2025 (26) "Micro-credentials, Digital Badges, and Open Badges: Paving the Way for a Sustainable Future in Education " Communications of International Proceedings, Vol. 2025 (26), Article ID 4621925, <https://doi.org/10.5171/2025.4621925>

It is important to note that the enhancement of micro-credentials is something that states worldwide have been preparing for and creating policies for. The most common denominator of most national policies on recognizing micro-credentials is the understanding that micro-credentials are smaller and have less workload than traditional degree programs (Olcott, 2021). In addition, most of the states have well-defined positions on the place of micro-credentials in the overall system of qualifications and have made decisions regarding the types of organizations that can offer micro-credentials that will be recognized. The 2022 Global Education Monitoring Report by UNESCO pointed out that more than 85% of employers are now Using Skills-Based Hiring as a Preference Over Traditional Degrees (UNESCO, 2021). This further strengthens the role of these credentials in meeting education-work fascia gaps. According to IMS Global Consortium, the usage of digital badges rose by 40% in 2022 with more than 20 million badges issued across the world. These advances suggest that it is possible to anticipate that micro-credentials are on the cusp of becoming official credentials in their own right, associated with quality assurance and national qualification frameworks (IMS Global Learning Consortium, 2023).

The Context and Consensus

Over the last few years, education has been rapidly evolving, given the current technological advancement and the need for flexible learning. Micro-credentials, digital badges, and Open Badges are the existing and innovative solutions for recognizing one's abilities, expertise, and accomplishments. These smaller, modular qualifications have not replaced traditional degrees and are more specialized in their approach to learning. However, the global educational community, which has undertaken the task of their implementation to democratize and sustain learning, has still not agreed on the terminology or a conceptual framework for their use (Cirlan, 2023). Micro-credentials can be linked to formal learning, especially in higher education institutions where they help in the delivery of learning in modules and skills. On the other hand, Digital badges and Open Badges are more common in non-formal learning environments such as in professional development and lifelong learning. These badges are electronic versions of tangible badges where individuals display certain skills they have learned in various training activities, workshops, or online courses (Copenhaver & Pritchard, 2017).

The reason that these credentials are attractive is that they are flexible. This is mainly because the current job market does not reward only conventional academic credentials due to the fast-changing skill requirements. (Selvaratnam & Sankey, 2021) Micro-credentials meet this need since they provide learners with a more specific and targeted form of shorter learning opportunities that are relevant to the labor market. In addition, the availability of digital learning platforms makes it easier for more people to have access and hence increases enrolment and the overall goal of learning for all (Fisher & Leder, 2022). Nevertheless, the increase in micro-credentials has its problems as well. Some critics have noted that the occurring shift may erode the broader learning goals that are normally associated with education. Breaking down learning into small chunks may reduce the importance of obtaining a general understanding of a subject and, therefore, decrease the importance of conventional degrees. Also, there is no uniformity in the format of presentation of the micro-credentials across different platforms and different geographical regions, this constrains the portability and recognition of these credentials across the globe.

Digital Badges and Micro-Credentials

Digital badges and micro-credentials are a new way of tracking achievement in education and in the workplace. They are also a reflection of the larger trend in education toward the modular and the competency-based, which prepare students for the new economy and the new workplace. Though many a time they are used interchangeably, they have different significance in educational and professional training.

Digital badges are the iconic representation of the accomplishment of certain competencies, which are given out after a certain task, course, or training is done. These badges are usually released through electronic means and have components that include information concerning the issuer, the competence being recognized, and the standards that had to be met to earn the badge (Cole, 2020). For this reason, they are widely applied in non-formal education and at work to prove and demonstrate certain skills. For example, an employee may gain a badge for such things as becoming proficient in a particular software application, completing leadership training, or engaging in a community project. Digital badges are intended to be shared on the web, and as such, give learners portable and easily verifiable proof of their accomplishment (Godshalk & Messazzia, 2021).

Micro-credentials can be described as more defined and rigid than informal learning. They are documents that indicate that a given individual has acquired a certain level of competence in a specific area of knowledge or skill; they are commonly earned through the accomplishment of learning objectives and or assessments. Micro-credentials are being embraced in more and more higher education systems as a way of breaking down traditional courses into smaller chunks. For example, a university could offer micro-credentials related to data analysis, project management, or

sustainability where a student can gain smaller, certificated learning units towards a full degree. As a result, both learners can personalize their learning as well as institutions to suit industry needs (Holbl et al., 2018).

They are popular because they are able to stack learning from the classroom and learning that is done outside the classroom and learners get tangible and portable evidence of their learning. However, challenges remain. Concerns have been raised by stakeholders, there is currently no established set of norms that can vouch for the quality or validity of these credentials across sites and locations (Hidayah et al., 2021).

Distinction Between Micro-Credentials and Digital Badges

When it comes to micro-credentials and digital badges as new and promising approaches to recognizing skills and competencies, the differences are traced in size, design, and usage. This is the case since knowing the differences between the two will help in the most effective utilization of the two in education and workforce development. Micro-credentials are recognized certification that proves the learner can showcase certain skills (Copenhaver & Pritchard, 2017). These are normally provided by Universities, Professions, or any other training institutions and normally come in the form of a learning objective, an assessment, or a project. The micro-credentials may be reported or sometimes can articulate towards the full qualifications; these include degrees. According to UNESCO Global Report on Micro-credentials published in 2021, 70% of all micro-credentials issued globally are linked to formal education meaning that micro-credentials are a means of aligning traditional education with new learning needs (UNESCO, 2021). Digital badges, though, are not as confining and formal as other forms of recognition. They are graphic symbols of abilities or achievements and are mostly earned from activities that are non-credit bearing and are undertaken in a relatively short time for instance workshops, boot camps, or other forms of training. A primary goal of a digital badge is to provide a shareable and portable form of micro-credentialing suitable for sharing on social media sites like LinkedIn or personal blogs.

The key distinctions between the two include:

Structure and Depth:

- Micro-credentials are formal learning products that are packaged as courses and can take several weeks or even months to complete.
- Digital badges are easier to acquire and tend to be based on certain competencies performed within a shorter period.

Recognition and Credibility:

- Micro-credentials are more formal have more credit value and are easier to be recognized by employers and universities. According to the survey conducted by Credential Engine, 60% of employers selected micro-credentials rather than digital badges in the process of screening the qualifications of candidates.
- Digital badges are more flexible and less official; they are usually applied to support CVs or to indicate particular skills.

Purpose:

- Micro-credentials are mainly used for career advancement or educational programs and are associated with the concept of lifelong learning.
- Digital badges, therefore, focus on sharing one's accomplishments and building a powerful personal brand.

Significance of the Research

This paper looks at how micro-credentials and digital badges are contributing to the emergence of a sustainable and future-proof education model. These have thus found their way into the education systems as societies transition towards meeting the new world of work demands. Flexible and modular learning will become the new normal as a 2023 World Economic Forum report indicates that 50% of all employees will need upskilling by 2025. This paper aims to explain the differences between micro-credentials and digital badges, their usage, and their potential and real issues in the context of lifelong learning and employment as well as sustainable education practices. This research also

examines the absence of standardization and international recognition and seeks to add to the literature in the area of developing models that will enhance the utility of these credentials. Through the identification of their effects on learners, educators, and employers, the study results will help Education systems meet the needs of the 21st-century workforce.

Research Question

In what ways and with what success can micro-credentials and digital badges help shape sustainable and inclusive education for the future and solve the problems of standardization and recognition?

Research Objectives

- To examine the possibility of using micro-credentials and digital badges in lifelong learning and employment.
- To identify the issues about standardization, recognition, and accreditation of these awards.
- To assess the possibility of these approaches to help close the gap between the classical education systems and the requirements of the current labor market.
- To advance ideas for micro-credentials and digital badges in sustainable education systems.

Methodology

The research design for this study is mainly qualitative and the data collection method used is secondary data to examine the concept of micro-credentials and digital badges in the reform of education systems. This is because the qualitative research method is appropriate for gaining an understanding of phenomena that are elaborate and contextual and include educational innovations, learners' perception and experience, and the effects of new forms of credentials on different actors. Whereas quantitative research is concerned with collecting numerical data and analyzing it to arrive at a conclusion that can be generalized, qualitative research aims at understanding the experience, perception, or process. Thus, this approach provides an opportunity to reveal the specifics of the perception and use of micro-credentials and digital badges in various educational settings.

One of the key reasons for using qualitative research is to gain an appreciation of the personnel's perceptions and interpretations of their experiences. Since micro-credentials and digital badges are rather new phenomena in educational environments and have not yet set a common framework for their implementation, it is important to explore how they are perceived by different stakeholders. Qualitative research will enable the researcher to gain a deeper understanding of these perceptions which can be missed when using quantitative methods. This level of knowledge is especially critical to the analysis of motives that led to the adoption of such credentials, the issues, and concerns of institutions, and the effects on the distant future learning results. To conduct this study primary data sources are not used; rather, the research is based on previous studies, articles, books, reports, and other publications. Secondary data is especially important when the collection of primary data would be lengthy, expensive, or difficult to acquire, and this is the case in this study since the research has a vast focus area and has to draw data from several sources. This also has the advantage of giving the researcher a snapshot of the perspectives on micro-credentials and digital badges across many different education systems and geographical locations through the use of secondary data (Alsayed et al., 2020). Micro-credentialing remains a novel concept in the current literature and, therefore, secondary sources of data are useful since there is an increasing number of works that discuss the possibilities, efficacy, and issues of micro-credentials.

The reason why the method of choice for the analysis of the secondary data will be thematic analysis is another strength of the qualitative approach. Thematic analysis is a systematic technique used by researchers to code and categorize qualitative data, identify patterns or themes, and report them. This research approach is most suitable for the current study because the subject of the research is not well understood, hence the need to approach the study systematically while still allowing for the discovery of new information. Thematic analysis will be employed in this study to capture features of implementation, perception, and challenges associated with micro-credentials and digital badges across different sectors. This approach will be useful in reducing large amounts of data from different sources into manageable themes that will support the development of a subject understanding.

Moreover, thematic analysis helps to distinguish both stated and unstated trends in the literature. For instance, while a number of the studies may directly examine the opportunities and risks of micro-credentials, thematic analysis enables the researcher to find emergent themes, such as the conflict between traditional degrees and new forms of credentialing

or the part of employers in recognizing such credentials. The research will be able to gain an overall understanding of the effects of micro-credentials and digital badges on education systems and the workforce by comparing patterns across different studies. The qualitative approach is preferred for the current study because of the following reasons: One, it is better suited to gain an understanding of the research questions (Edith et al., 2012). As the field of micro-credentials and digital badges is constantly growing, a qualitative research design can help the researcher respond to new trends. It is useful in this context where the terrain of education and certification is constantly shifting to be able to go back and look at the data again in light of new findings. It is against this background that qualitative research can be used to probe into new and developing trends, analyze cases, and determine the overall impact of micro-credentials and digital badges on lifelong learning.

Also, the qualitative secondary data makes it possible for the researcher to concentrate on the depth of the information as opposed to the width. As a result, the study will not be hampered by the requirement of generating vast amounts of data and, instead, will rely on the integration of current knowledge to derive conclusions. The research can thus gain insight into where micro-credentialing has been most effective, and where it has been least effective, based on existing studies, articles, and reports. It will also give a comprehensive outlook on how micro-credentials and digital badges can enhance the effective growth of sustainable and inclusive education systems (Edith et al., 2012). The thematic analysis also allows for distinguishing contradictions and gaps in the previous research, which is critical for the further development of the academic debate on the issue. When analyzing the secondary data critically the study will also be able to identify the areas of agreement and difference of opinion, for instance, the argument about the use of micro-credentials. Consequently, the research will support the academic conversation about the future of education, particularly about technological advancement and credentialing structures.

Key Trends in Micro-credentials and Digital Badges

Modularization and Personalization of Education

The concepts of modularization and personalization are the main factors that have contributed to the use of micro-credentials and digital badges to shift learning to a more flexible system. These approaches allow people to learn particular competencies and information in more manageable and focused segments and, thus, tailored to personal, educational, and career objectives. Modularization means to divide the whole complex learning structure into smaller parts of teaching and learning. These units, sometimes called micro-credentials, let learners zero in on particular competencies without signing up for an entire degree program. This trend is increasing in the field of higher education and professional training since it is consistent with the dynamics of the labor market (Copenhaver & Pritchard, 2017). For example, universities like Harvard and MIT have adopted the use of the modular system through the edX platform to enable learners to accrue micro-credentials in areas of AI, data analysis, and business management. A 2022 report by HolonIQ projected the global micro-credential market to reach \$20 billion by 2025, reflecting the growing appeal of modular education (HolonIQ, 2022).

Personalization adapts learning solutions to the learner's needs and preferences. Through the use of data mining and adaptive learning models, the platform can create a learning map that will consider the learner's knowledge background, the subject or field of interest the learner wishes to pursue, and the learner's learning rate. For example, Coursera and Udemy provide recommendations based on learner's behavioral and career preferences so that learners are exposed to content that will interest them. This approach increases motivation and productivity since people work on their areas of weakness and needs therefore, they are likely to acquire better results (Hall-Ellis, 2016).

Modularization and personalization are effective approaches that can be combined to benefit properties a great deal. First, it makes education cheap and easily available to everyone who wishes to learn. These traditional degree options are expensive, and the learners may take a long time to complete them, whereas the modular micro-credentials are relatively cheap and flexible, and the learners can take them at their own pace and convenience. In addition, both modularization and personalization are suitable for life-long learning. This paper argues that with the changing industrial dynamics, workers have to train and retrain themselves to gain a competitive edge.

Micro-credentials for people can be easily plugged in and out, enabling workers to enhance their skills at different stages of their careers. This is another advantage of these credentials; learners can accumulate the modules and earn more extensive awards including advanced certification or even equivalent degrees (Hall-Ellis, 2016). Nevertheless, there are still problems with the effective application of modular and individualized learning. This is because there is no clear definition of what makes a micro-credential and this may cause problems for employers and learners. Initiatives including the European Qualifications Framework and Open Badges are grappling with this through the provision of standardization. Moreover, the quality of the modular programs should be a concern because the system of self-directed learning is not always standardized and may produce programs of uneven quality and credibility.

Bridging the Gap Between Education and Employment

The gap between the conventional learning systems and the modern world has opened up micro-credentialing and digital badges as solutions. These new forms of credentials are designed to offer evidence of particular knowledge and skills that are relevant to the labor market, thus linking graduates' achievement to the needs of the economy. Such a situation is rather important nowadays, especially with the volatile and ever-developing job market, where specific niches tend to require more specific knowledge that cannot always be obtained within a traditional framework of a degree.

Perhaps the most important factor is that micro-credentials can be used to prove people have the skills that are needed in the workplace. Micro-credentials are different from traditional degrees, which commonly require students to build general theoretical knowledge. For instance, according to LinkedIn's 2023 Workforce Report, a vast majority of 75% of employers are willing to hire candidates who can prove they have the right skills as opposed to those who have good papers. Initiatives such as the Google Career Certificates – digital training opportunities focussing on subjects such as IT support and data analytics – are examples of how this form of learning can help people to ready themselves for particular positions. Google kickstarted the program in 2018 and to date over 300,000 certificates have been earned by learners across the world and 82% of the learners have seen positive career impacts (Future of Recruiting, 2023).

Micro-credentials and digital badges also therefore lead to better linkages between education providers and employers. University, online, and industry partnerships guarantee that curriculum design is based on current practice needs. For instance, IBM's Open Badges initiative has handed out more than 4 million badges since its launch in 2016, with a focus on cybersecurity skills, and artificial intelligence among others. These badges are developed with input from IBM's industry partners, this being to ensure that the badges are relevant and acceptable in the marketplace. Another benefit of these credentials is that they may well be in a position to help overcome skill shortages in certain industries (Selvaratnam & Sankey, 2021). When industries are fast transforming due to automation and digitalization, a new set of skills is needed. According to the World Economic Forum's Future of Jobs Report 2020, 50 percent of workers would require reskilling in the next five years. These micro-credentials have come in handy in meeting this requirement because they do not require workers to enroll in long degree programs. For example, edX's Micro Masters programs allow learners to build up mastery in certain areas, such as renewable energy or business analytics while continuing to work full-time (Miller & Jorre de St Jorre, 2022).

Also, digital credentials make the hiring processes more transparent. Through digital platforms, it's very easy to check the authenticity of the documents presented by the employees hence minimizing the risk of fraud. The credentialing system that operates on the blockchain like Accredible guarantees that the qualification cannot be altered in any way to help build trust between employers and job seekers. Such reliability is most valuable for freelancers and gig workers who frequently leverage skill-based credentials to find their projects (Wheelahan & Moodie, 2021b). However, some issues are still there. There is the problem of lack of recognition of micro-credentials across the board. Although many companies accept these credentials, some still doubt such an approach and stick to conventional diplomas. However, affordability, profitability, and quality of technologies could pose constraints to the adoption of the technology.

The Role of Technology in Credentialing

The use of technology has transformed the credentialing process and thus new systems such as micro-credentials, digital badges, and Open Badges have emerged to define how skills and competencies are awarded, displayed, and endorsed. All these advancements not only ensure that credentialing is convenient and can be scaled up but also ensure that education is in sync with the digital economy, thus promoting a continuity of education. Another major positive effect of technology is the development of interoperable digital credentialing systems. Open Badges enables a person to display his or her skills on one or more platforms including LinkedIn and personal website. All of these badges contain information about the issuer, the date of issue, and the skills that have been verified, for authenticity (LaMagna, 2017).

New technologies such as blockchain technology have added credibility to the processes of credentialing. A major that makes use of Blockchain technology is digital credentialing as it provides security through decentralization, eliminating the issue of fake papers. The market research firm forecasts that the global blockchain credentialing market will rise from \$120 million in 2021 to \$430 million by 2026, evidence of its rising importance. Another area that has been enhanced by the application of artificial intelligence is credentialing. Such AI-based platforms can use the data of learners and suggest the best learning paths and certifications that learners need to acquire relevant skills for their work. For instance, applications such as Coursera employ AI to recommend learners with courses and certifications in vogue in the market. In 2023, Coursera claimed to have over 100 million learners who enrolled for courses and many of them acquired micro-credentialing in the area of Data Science and Cloud Computing (Oliver, 2021).

In addition, technology enables credentialing systems to be scaled up in a way that they can be used across the world. Flexible tools such as online learning platforms, mobile applications, and cloud systems allow people of different backgrounds to learn, gain, exchange, and apply digital credentials. Based on the UNESCO report, the enrolment of learners in online micro-credentials went up by 60% in just three years from 2020 to 2023 due to the pandemic and remote learning. However, challenges persist. This means that there is no clarity as to how the various digital credentials are to be recognized or accepted in the market. Such organizations as the European Qualifications Framework and IMS Global Learning Consortium try to overcome this problem by developing a set of standards for credentialing systems.

The Impact of COVID-19

The coronavirus that started in January 2020 has brought a lot of changes to the education systems across the globe. This accelerated the use of other forms of learning tools and resources including micro-credentials, digital badges, and Open Badges. Although the concept of these credentials has been in the pipeline for years, the pandemic provided the spark that brought attention to the need for more fluid, customizable, and portable solutions to meet the shifting needs of work (McGreal & Olcott, 2022).

While conventional learning systems were changing due to the closure of educational institutions, health concerns, and the change in the learning environment to online classes, modular learning was seen to be more relevant. Micro-credentials, modular and portable learning packages that are used to teach particular competencies, were identified as one of the most efficient ways to train people during the period of uncertainty. A report released by the European Commission in 2021 showed that there was an increased demand for online learning platforms as well as micro-credentials during the COVID-19 crisis because employees wanted to upgrade their training to be useful in the new world of work or change their careers due to job losses (McGreal & Olcott, 2022).

The use of digital badges and micro-credentials provided an innovative approach to the more conventional, cumbersome forms of education and training known as modular learning. People could get specific skills in small chunks of time to learn something, without having to go back to school for years to get a degree. This flexibility enabled people together with organizations to adapt to the new skills requirements that were precipitated by the COVID-19 pandemic, especially in the areas of health, technology, and commerce. For example, in the pandemic era, skills related to data analysis, remote work management, and digital communication got their recognition as digital badges, which became very important in a new remote working environment. It also highlighted the value of continuous learning especially as sectors changed at a fast rate. A survey by McKinsey in 2020 established that 87% of the employers highlighted skill gaps in the workforce with most of these gaps having been worsened by the shift to remote working due to the COVID-19 pandemic (McGreal et al., 2022). Micro-credentials enabled individuals to upskill in new timely, valuable skills to meet the gap in the market efficiently. Also, because micro-credentials highlight specific competencies and are supported by credentials, they became valuable in a situation where job seekers face a highly uncertain labor market.

Also, the increase in the adoption of digital badges and Open Badges during the pandemic boosted the credibility of other forms of certification. Open Badges, an open standard across the industry for recognizing learning achievements, offered learners a form of certification and proof of learning and accomplishment that can be shared across various online courses or training programs. The future of education is likely to remain more digital and more modular even as the world recovers from the pandemic (Lemoine & Richardson, 2015). Micro-credentials and digital badges will probably become even more important in determining the future of education, as they help to make learning more individual, available, and relevant to the market. As a result of the current need for flexibility in education, these credentials will assist in making certain that individuals continue to be employable in the current job market and contribute to the sustainable development of education.

Challenges and Risks

Non-standardization and Lack of Recognition

Among the biggest challenges that micro-credentials and digital badges are facing today, there is no standardization of the credentialing system. While traditional credentials, which are degrees, are standardized, we find that DEs are not only different in format and content but also in legitimacy. This lack of standardization can lead to a situation whereby employers, learners, and institutions are not well-placed to understand the authenticity and equivalence of digital credentials. For example, some could be from the best and proof-based training while others could be from poor training organizations (Hunt et al., 2019). There is no internationally acceptable set of standards and norms hence such certifications lose their value and cannot be used in the labor market. The Association for Talent Development (ATD) surveyed 200 employers in 2022 and learned that 40% of the employers had issues concerning the validity of the digital badges especially those not based on industry standards.

Digital Divide and Accessibility Problems

Another issue is the digital divide which is inequalities in technological and digital stocks. Micro-credential and digital badges are often provided and endorsed by online platforms; this means that, first of all, people need to have access to an internet connection and at least some basic level of computer literacy. In regions with poor technological infrastructure, and hence rural areas or developing countries, people might thus fail to grab such opportunities and therefore enhance existing inequalities in education and employment. ITU report of 2020 estimates that 3.7 billion people globally are not connected to the internet and therefore cannot benefit from online learning to obtain digital credentials (Ellis et al., 2016). However, there are still challenges that prevent this, and one of them is the digital divide through which learners cannot have access to micro-credentials; efforts have been made to address this divide by coming up with offline or affordable mobile learning options.

Employer Acceptance and Trust

Another important issue is the employer's perception of micro-credentials. While many organizations have indeed appreciated the benefits of skills-based training, they might remain rather skeptical about the idea of digital badges and micro-credentials as replacements for standard degrees. This is particularly so because the award of these new visas is relatively recent in the market place and there is therefore limited awareness about its accreditation standards. For instance, in a survey conducted by the Credential Engine, a non-profit organization working toward increased credential transparency, 58% of employers stated that skills-based credentials were becoming increasingly valuable in the workforce, but only 30% felt they had the means to validate and judge the quality of digital badges. Without support from employers, micro-credentials can easily become an additional piece of paper with little workplace value. Initiatives taken to utilize digital badges in the recruitment process are being seen on platforms such as LinkedIn and certification-providing platforms. Although the challenge of convincing society and businesses of the authenticity of these credentials and their value in the marketplace will be a continuous process, there is a need for close cooperation between education institutions, employers, and credentialing bodies to set the standards (Ellis et al., 2016).

Risk of Credential Inflation

The expansion of micro-credentials is associated with concerns of credential inflation whereby a situation where qualifications are easily obtainable makes them less valuable. This is because, as more and more organizations and educational institutions launch digital badges and micro-credentials, the supply of qualifications is likely to far outstrip the demand for them on the hiring market. Credentials can become watered down over time to the point that the employee badges have very little meaning to the employer as to what it means the employee is truly proficient in. This can result in the so-called 'race to the bottom', in which employers demand more and more credentials to signal workers' competence and increase the load on learners without necessarily making them more hireable.

Privacy and Data Security Issues

Technology application in credentialing also has some issues with privacy and data security. Micro-credentials and digital badges may sometimes demand the submission of learner's records and working experience to prove that he or she is qualified. This information must be protected from unauthorized access as well as from breaches. While using blockchain technology which is widely applied to guarantee the credibility of digital credentials to a certain extent helps to address the issue of protection, there are new concerns regarding ownership and control of data (Ellis et al., 2016). This makes learners unaware of how their data is being utilized or shared, hence they may be violating some of their privacy rights. Also, if the systems and platforms used in credentialing are breached, the information contained in the credentials may be lost or manipulated, which is not healthy for the system.

Impact on Education Systems

Micro-credentials, digital badges, and Open Badges can therefore revolutionize learning systems, redesign the paradox of learning, and redefine the standards of achievement. These new forms of credentialing are fully consistent with the current trends of digitalization and are characterized by individualization, adaptability, and openness. However, the problem of their integration into the traditional systems of education arises, and consideration should be made. The effects are observed in the following areas: curriculum, the ways students interact with the material, the methods of evaluation, and the position of universities and colleges.

The New Focus on Competency-Based Education.

This paper identified micro-credentials and digital badges as having one of the most influential effects on education systems, which is the adoption of CBE. The conventional model of learning has been the time-based model where students are expected to complete a course within a certain time duration. When it comes to micro-credentials though, learning is no longer as generic and is instead geared toward acquiring certain competencies and skills. In a competency-based system, learners can show that they possess the necessary skills and knowledge at their convenience.

The Roles of Choice and Adaptation in Learning Pathways.

There is also the flexibility and customization that micro-credentials are bringing to reformed learning systems. The traditional methods of teaching and learning are still inadequate in the present time and the tempo, content, and style which the learners require. Micro-credentials, on the other hand, are a more fluid way of learning that would allow people to choose specific classes, certifications, or training that might be of use to them in their work. Currently, Coursera, edX, and LinkedIn Learning are some of the platforms that have introduced micro-credentials which are programs that enable learners to learn skills and acquire certification in areas such as data science, business analytics, and digital marketing among others. These programs provide more flexibility than traditional degree programs since learners can pick courses that create recognizable certifications that are accepted in the market. Automation and artificial intelligence have become part of many workplaces and industries today, and many jobs are changing. Micro-credentials therefore help people to update their knowledge and skills as they work towards meeting the set goals in the workplace. Modern society allows and encourages people to modify their expertise gradually and flexibly to improve their work performance.

Redefining Assessment Practices

The conventional forms of assessment such as tests and essays are usually inadequate in giving a clear understanding of the performance of a learner. Micro credentialing, Digital Credentials, and Open Badges are the new forms of certification of competencies. These are more specific and could offer a better insight into a person's capability, especially in non-conventional learning systems. Micro-credentials can be assessed in a more holistic, and therefore more realistic manner, instead of the typical exam or grade, through projects, peer review, or even practical implementation to real-life scenarios. Also, the micro-credentials are linked to ongoing learning and feedback, this means that learners will be able to get better and also be rewarded more for their improvements. This form of assessment aligns with current theories of education that support the idea that assessment should be formative and that feedback should be given to the learners perpetually.

The Problems for Conventional Institutions and Teachers

Micro-credentials on the other hand pose a challenge to the conventional institutions on the role they play in endorsing learning achievement (Miller & Jorre de St Jorre, 2022). Micro-credentials have yet to become popular among many higher education institutions because some institutions may view such credentials as a threat to the traditional degree. Instructors, however, can also have difficulties in the process of adopting new forms and technologies of assessment. The move toward competency-based education and student-centered learning models demands new models of teaching and learning as well as new ways of evaluating learning in nonstandard formats. However, there are problems with the structure of the system: a multitude of providers can offer their micro-credentials, which will not be accepted by everyone. It is, therefore, important that these credentials are recognized by employers and other institutions of learning to legitimize micro-credentials in the system.

Future of Higher Education

Since education is gradually being unbundled and Lean approaches pushing for skills acquisition, universities stand a chance to incorporate micro-credentials in their portfolios. This integration, however, must be strategic, to improve the educational value of such programs without diminishing the importance of traditional, full degrees. In addition, the universities are ready to take the leading position in the realization of the concept of lifelong learning and in coping with the problems of structuring digitalization. To avoid challenging traditional full-degree programs, universities need to adopt an approach that considers micro-credentials as components of larger courses. For example, institutions can deliver micro-credentials in focused fields of study that would count toward the completion of a degree program such as data analytics, cybersecurity, or sustainable business. This helps the students to get specific, market-oriented training together with a more general education.

Program Learning Outcomes & Flexible and Stackable Learning Pathways

As such, micro-credentials allow universities to develop a system of learning that is consonant with the aspirations of the modern learner and the modern world's economic model, based on the accumulation of credits. These pathways can let a learner begin with a short course or certification and then progress to acquire a diploma or degree by stacking their accomplishment. This configuration can be considered an orthogonal fit to the modules that suit the needs of the growing clientele of lifelong learners making educational attainment in portions. For example, in MIT's Micro Masters program, the learners take several online courses to obtain a micro-credential. These credit hours can then be transferred to a complete master's program if the learner automatically opts to join one. These efforts expand opportunities for learners to gain access to advanced learning which empowers learners in their learning paths (International Telecommunication Union, 2021). Micro-credentials are useful but they are not the full picture of what degrees are – degrees are more than skills, they are also thinking, research, and even understanding of the world – things that can't be shrunk down into one-hour or one-day courses. The following table summarises the key strategic considerations for sustaining this value Universities should therefore present micro-credentials as alternatives instead of as equivalent to full degrees.

Lifelong learning and Skills enhancement

For a long time, workers and learners had the luxury of choosing a career and sticking with it for the rest of their lives. It is one idea that universities can better advance lifelong learning by providing micro-credentials as the solution to the reskilling and upskilling challenges facing the workforce. Through collaborations, universities should be able to come up with micro-credentials that will match new trends in the labor market hence making learners relevant for the job market. For instance, organization of learning such as Arizona State University forms strategic partnerships with organizations like Starbucks to offer training to staff. These ideas show examples where universities are not limited to catering for student students but can also address self-employed adults and businessmen to be updated all the time.

The Use of Digitalization as a Strategy for Change in Learning

Technology is at the heart of change in higher education since universities provide forced micro-credentials via online platforms, virtual classrooms, and learning management systems. Technology in learning makes learning scalable and accessible and thus is not limited by geographical location or financial revenues. Through edX and Coursera for example, universities can extend their reach to the international market and sell micro-credentials to the learner population (Oliver, 2021). Furthermore, it supports personalized and adaptive learning-based tools. AI can monitor one's performance, make suggestions on how to improve students' performance, and even determine when the learner may require assistance. There are extra features, including badges and leaderboards, that can serve to increase engagement satisfaction, and motivation.

Challenges and Considerations

The integration of micro-credentials brings potential but it is associated with several issues. The challenges include standardization, quality assurance, and accreditation of qualifications across institutions and employers. The maturity of micro-credentials as a new form of education also requires the development of reliable systems for the accreditation of micro-credentials. Furthermore, some of the faculty might need orientation in the new teaching and assessment practices expected from micro-credentials. With the current prominence of the ideas of modularity and competency-based education, clients demand creative use of instruction with emphasis on application in real-life contexts, which can deviate from conventional forms of academic instruction. In the context of digitalization of higher education and

lifelong learning university's role has to be reconsidered. They must evolve from being institutions that offer degrees and nothing more to being institutions that foster senior learning at workplaces and other arenas of human endeavor. This change relates to a shift of focus on working closely with industries and governments among other educational deliverers to foster a comprehensive learning environment. Strategically placement of micro-credentials enables universities to remain relevant in delivering quality education to their clientele while at the same time protecting the sanctity of conventional degree bear threatening programs.

Conclusion

An innovation in the new world of education is micro-credentials, digital badges, and Open Badges as they alter the way skills and knowledge are reputed. These innovations help to meet the needs of the two main models of education systems in the world where the global workforce operates. They meet the needs of diverse learners by providing modular, personalized, and flexible learning that enables learners to learn and unlearn as they progress through their working lives. Such credentials foster life-long learning and develop an intelligent learning culture that makes education a life-long process and that is both affordable and applicable in this ever-changing world. However, when micro-credentials are brought into the traditional framework of higher Education degree programs, they have the potential to revolutionize those programs. These tools can be utilized by the universities to offer the students the planned learning, meet the industry requirements, and involve non-conventional learners. The opportunities are tremendous, but problems persist about normalization, quality, and fairness. It follows, therefore, that micro-credentials and digital badges shall only thrive if educational institutions, policymakers as well as other industry players have a common vision. However, public awareness of blockchain-based recognition frameworks, the development of verifiable credential systems, as well as long-term sustainable credentialing models are still limited. This change is being driven by technology in developing flexible credentialing solutions that can be easily replicated. Nevertheless, this is a need for the growing education system that has to be reinforced in its vision of nurturing critical thinking, creativity, and global citizens. With the right kind of usage of these tools, educational institutions will remain significant, address the gaps in our prepared workforce, and build a society that is ready to embrace the challenges of the 21st century.

References

- Alsayed, I., Maguire-Wright, K., & Flickinger, K. (2020). Utilizing Secondary Data Sources In Combination With Primary Clinical Data To Optimize Data Collection In Prospective Study Designs. *Value in Health*, 19(3), A108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2016.03.1699>
- Cirlan, E. (2023). *Approaches to Quality Assurance of Micro-credentials A report on policies and practices to assure the quality of micro- credentials in the European Higher Education Area*. https://www.enqa.eu/wp-content/uploads/IMINQA-MC-report_Approaches-to-Quality-Assurance-of-Micro-credentials.pdf
- Cole, M. F. (2020). Digital Badges to Increase TA Training and Recognition. *Advances in Biology Laboratory Education*. <https://doi.org/10.37590/able.v41.extabs28>
- Copenhaver, K., & Pritchard, L. (2017). Digital badges for staff training: Motivate employees to learn with micro-credentials. *Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship*, 29(4), 245–254. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1941126x.2017.1378543>
- Edith, Joop Hox, & Dillman, D. (2012). *International Handbook of Survey Methodology*. Routledge.
- Ellis, L. E., Nunn, S. G., & Avella, J. T. (2016). Digital Badges and Micro-credentials: Historical Overview, Motivational Aspects, Issues, and Challenges. *Foundation of Digital Badges and Micro-Credentials*, 3–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15425-1_1
- European Commission. (2021). *The Rise and Recognition of Micro-credentials Stacking Modules and the Future of the Qualification*. <https://www.nuffic.nl/sites/default/files/2022-03/The%20rise%20and%20recognition%20of%20micro-credentials.pdf>
- Fisher, R. M., & Leder, H. (2022). An assessment of micro-credentials in New Zealand vocational education. *International Journal of Training Research*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14480220.2021.2018018>
- *Future of Recruiting 2023*. (2023). LinkedIn.com; The 2023 Future of Recruiting Report | Hiring on LinkedIn. <https://business.linkedin.com/talent-solutions/resources/future-of-recruiting/archival/future-of-recruiting-2023>
- Godshalk, V., & Messatzzia, L. (2021). Digital Badges in a Post-Covid World. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4026616>
- Hall-Ellis, S. D. (2016). Stackable micro-credentials – a framework for the future. *The Bottom Line*, 29(4), 233–236. <https://doi.org/10.1108/bl-02-2016-0006>
- Hidayah, N., Ahmat, C., Arif, M., Bashir, A., Razali, A., & Kasolang, S. (2021). *Micro-Credentials in Higher Education Institutions: Challenges and Opportunities*. <https://doi.org/10.24191/ajue.v17i3.14505>
- Holbl, M., Kamisalic, A., Turkanovic, M., Kompara, M., Podgorelec, B., & Hericko, M. (2018). EduCTX: An Ecosystem for Managing Digital Micro-Credentials. *2018 28th EAEEIE Annual Conference (EAEEIE)*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/eaeeie.2018.8534284>

- HolonIQ. (2022). *Global Education Outlook*. Www.holoniq.com. <https://www.holoniq.com/notes/2022-global-education-outlook>
- Hunt, T., Carter, R., Zhang, L., & Yang, S. (2019). Micro-credentials: the potential of personalized professional development. *Development and Learning in Organizations: An International Journal*, 34(2), 33–35. <https://doi.org/10.1108/dlo-09-2019-0215>
- IMS Global Learning Consortium. (2023). Imsglobal.org. <https://www.imsglobal.org/home>
- INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION (ITU). (2021). <https://www.unwomen.org/sites/default/files/Headquarters/Attachments/Sections/How%20We%20Work/UNSystemCoordination/UN-SWAP/Results/2020/2020-ITU-SWAP-2-reporting-results-en.pdf>
- LaMagna, M. (2017). Placing digital badges and micro-credentials in context. *Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship*, 29(4), 206–210. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1941126x.2017.1378538>
- Lemoine, P. A., & Richardson, M. D. (2015). Micro-Credentials, Nano Degrees, and Digital Badges. *International Journal of Technology and Educational Marketing*, 5(1), 36–49. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijtem.2015010104>
- Lim, C. L., Nair, P. K., Keppell, M. J., Hassan, N., & Ayub, E. (2018). Developing a Framework for the University-Wide Implementation of Micro-Credentials and Digital Badges: A Case Study From a Malaysian Private University. *2018 IEEE 4th International Conference on Computer and Communications (ICCC)*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/comppcomm.2018.8780706>
- McGreal, R., Mackintosh, W., Cox, G., & Olcott, Jr., D. (2022). Bridging the Gap: Micro-credentials for Development. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 23(3), 288–302. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v23i3.6696>
- McGreal, R., & Olcott, D. (2022). A strategic reset: micro-credentials for higher education leaders. *Smart Learning Environments*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-022-00190-1>
- Miller, K. K., & Jorre de St Jorre, T. (2022). Digital micro-credentials in environmental science: an employer perspective on valued evidence of skills. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2022.2053953>
- Olcott, D. (2021). Micro-Credentials: A Catalyst for Strategic Reset and Change in U.S. Higher Education. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 36(1), 19–35. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08923647.2021.1997537>
- Oliver, B. (2021). Micro-credentials: A learner value framework. *Journal of Teaching and Learning for Graduate Employability*, 12(1), 48–51. <https://doi.org/10.21153/jtlge2021vol12no1art1456>
- Selvaratnam, R. M., & Sankey, M. (2021). An integrative literature review of the implementation of micro-credentials in higher education: Implications for practice in Australasia. *Journal of Teaching and Learning for Graduate Employability*, 12(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.21153/jtlge2021vol12no1art942>
- UNESCO. (2021). *Home | Global Education Monitoring Report*. Www.unesco.org. <https://www.unesco.org/gem-report/en>
- Wheelahan, L., & Moodie, G. (2021a). Analysing micro-credentials in higher education: a Bernsteinian analysis. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 53(2), 212–228. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2021.1887358>
- Wheelahan, L., & Moodie, G. (2021b). Gig qualifications for the gig economy: Micro-Credentials and the “hungry mile.” *Higher Education*, 83(6). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-021-00742-3>